

Re: RSPB et al, once again abusing wildlife, the rats of Lundy suffer. DANGER CONservation hooligans at work.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.agriculture.poultry/2004-08/0873.html>

From: Gluteus Maximus (*legion_at_ceaserus.com*)

Date: 08/28/04

Date: Sat, 28 Aug 2004 21:29:34 +0100

On Sat, 28 Aug 2004 17:01:08 +0200, "Professor Ludwig"
<conservation@berlin.fin.de> wrote:

>*The views of one nazi style CONservation hooligan Dr Malcolm Ogilvie,*
>*spokesperson for the RSPB, BTO, SNH etc. in his support for the*
>*unnecessary slaughter of hedgehogs from Uist. This despite offers by*
>*many wildlife bodies to take the hedgehogs in.*
>
>*He states.*
>
>*"Hurrah! High time that these nazi hedgehog invaders which have been*
>*killing off the local birds are got rid of. Next we kill the ruddy ducks and then the deer, they must all die"*
>

Scandalous that the RSPB could state such a thing.

>
>
>*Once again the CONservation hooligans like the RSPB are coining it in*
>*at the expense of wildlife, making up tall stories to scapegoat the*
>*common species and then botching the job they have been given grants*
>*for.*
>
>*The wholesale slaughter of wildlife that is totally unnecessary*
>*continues. 300,000+ deer every single year, foxes, hedgehogs, rabbits*
>*gassed with hydrogen cyanide, ruddy duck, the list of scapegoats is*
>*endless in their quest for nazi style purity in wildlife. Who needs*
>*mother nature when you have the CONservation hooligans conning the*
>*public out of donations, and conning the government out of grants NOT*
>*for protecting wildlife as promised, but for slaughtering it*
>*indiscriminately.*
>
>*Remember this next time they flood your house with junk mail asking*
>*for donations.*

.agriculture.poultry: Re: RSPB et al, once again abusing wildlife, the rats of Lundy suffer. DANGER CONservation hooligan

>

>As usual Animal Aid have shown very worthy of support, by exposing the
>CON in conservation.

>

>

><http://www.animalaid.org.uk/press/0304lund.htm>

>

>Press release: Issued 16.04.03

>

>*SHAME OF TOP-TABLE CONSERVATION GROUPS*

>The four leading conservation groups involved in the mass destruction
>of rats on Lundy island have been put to shame by a revealing new
>Animal Aid undercover video relating to the conduct of the 'cull'.

>

>The so-called 'Lundy Seabird Recovery Project' consists of English
>Nature, the Landmark Trust, the National Trust and the RSPB. The
>Project's sole aim is to eradicate all the rats on the island,
>including the extremely rare black rat, as they claim they are eating
>the eggs of seabirds, despite scientific evidence to the contrary.

>

>There are approximately 3000 poison traps on the island, supposedly
>fitted with a wire to prevent access by non-target species. However,
>the investigation reveals that this was not the case with 50% of them!
>This failing greatly increases the risk of poison exposure to other
>species, such as the highly valued pygmy shrew, whom it is an offence
>to destroy under the Wildlife & Countryside Act. Similarly, the video
>shows sheep and chickens grazing immediately next to the poison traps,
>with the poison openly exposed! This presents the prospect of poison
>entering the human food chain via the much vaunted Lundy lamb.

>

>The rat eradication programme began in January, and the Project
>maintained it would be completed by March – the beginning of the
>tourist season. However, it is now April and the traps are still
>there, despite tourists flocking in. The traps are not marked with
>warning signs, and there are no signs anywhere on the island warning
>visitors of the poisoning programme.

>

>The Lundy warden claims that there are only 15–20 rats left on the
>island. However, given the fact that only four carcasses have been
>found, it is unclear how he arrived at this estimate. It is unlikely
>that the rats are dying underground, as this would be counter to their
>innate behaviour.

>

>Says Animal Aid Campaigns Officer, Becky Lilly:

>

>"It is clear that the poisoning programme has not been as effective as
>the Notorious Foursome would have hoped. We find it disgraceful that
>the poison is unmarked and fully exposed to whoever cares to touch it.
>Of particular concern is the fact that poison is present in areas
>where children play – they could easily handle the poison out of
>curiosity. We feel as though we have been strongly misled by the

Re: RSPB et al, once again abusing wildlife, the rats of Lundy suffer. DANGER CONservation hooligans at v

agriculture.poultry: Re: RSPB et al, once again abusing wildlife, the rats of Lundy suffer. DANGER CONservation hooligan

- >organisations involved, who implied that the cull would be taking
- >place with the utmost care and professionalism. Hopefully, there still
- >may be time to stop this cull and save the remaining rats!"
- >
- >.....
- >
- >Notes to Editors
- >
- >For more information call Becky Lilly on 01732 364546 ext. 29.
- >We have an ISDN line for broadcast-quality interviews.
- >Animal Aid has been campaigning against the Lundy rat cull since
- >October 2002 on the basis that there is no evidence to support it.
- >Copies of the footage obtained are available in video or photographic
- >format.
- >Lundy is a small island 11 miles off the Devon coast and is home to
- >one of the only remaining UK colonies of black rat.
- >The poison to be used is difenacoum, a 2nd generation anti-coagulant.
- >It is contained within a cereal base within a wax block. The poison
- >traps consist of a tube approximately 75cm long and 10cm in diameter.
- >They are meant to have a wire at each end to prevent access by larger
- >mammals. The poison is inserted through a hole in the top which is
- >supposed to be covered.
- >
- >

'You can't win 'em all.'
Lord Haw Haw.

Newsgroup etiquette

- 1) Tell everyone the Trolls don't bother you.
- 2) Say you've killfiled them, yet continue to respond.
- 3) Tell other people off who repond despite doing so yourself.
- 4) Continually talk about Trolls while maintaining they're having no effect.
- 5) Publicly post killfile rules so the Trolls know how to avoid them.
- 6) Make lame legal threats and other barrel scraping manoeuvres when your abuse reports are ignored.
- 7) Eat vast quantities of pies.
- 8) Forget to brush your teeth for several decades.
- 9) Help a demon.local poster with their email while secretly reading it.
- 10) Pretend you're a hard bastard when in fact you're as bent as a roundabout.
- 11) Become the laughing stock of Usenet like Mabbet
- 12) Die of old age
- 13) Keep paying Dr Chartham his fees and hope one day you will have a penis the girls can see.

Re: RSPB et al, once again abusing wildlife, the rats of Lundy suffer. DANGER CONservation hooligans at v

BE WARNED YOU PHUCKERS. I WILL BAR BQ YOUR ASS.

We have received an allegation that you have posted an article/s to newsgroups demon.local,alt.animals.ethics.vegetarian,talk.politics.animals, uk.environment.conservation,uk.rec.birdwatching,scot.birds,uk.rec.gardening, uk.business.agriculture,uk.rec.fishing.coarse,sci.agriculture.poultry [<kmfdljArhNO5EwYq@4AX.com and others>] which our external solicitors believe to bear a defamatory meaning and as such we have had to remove the article from our servers.

The part in question is the comment "Pete is a fraud, a liar and a cheat." as well as some other comments in your article.

We cannot and do not make any judgement as to whether such material is defensible. However, the state of the law at the moment means that if we are put on notice that defamatory material is being published through our systems, Thus plc may be liable for damages, along with you, if it does not take action to prevent that material being published. Those damages could be substantial and we could both incur heavy legal expenses.

In addition, the current state of the law could leave Thus plc liable if it failed to take action and you disseminated any further material through our systems which was later found to be defamatory.

While we do not necessarily agree with the current legal position we must accept it, and have therefore removed the posting in question.

Please reply to this email acknowledging that you understand the problem and that you will not, in future, publish further material which could be considered defamatory of Pete through Demon's systems.

We attach below a suggested form of acknowledgement for your convenience.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RECEIPT

I acknowledge receipt of your email and confirm that I understand your explanation of the legal situation regarding material which is considered defamatory.

I confirm that I will not publish such material in future using my Demon service, and will not publish further material which could be considered defamatory of Pete.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Demon Legal Team.