

## Re: Paul McCartney urges PM to deliver hunt ban

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.agriculture.poultry/2004-09/0209.html>

---

**From:** Michael Saunby ([msaunby\\_at\\_despammed.com](mailto:msaunby_at_despammed.com))

**Date:** 09/10/04

Date: Fri, 10 Sep 2004 11:00:42 +0100

"Paul Rooney" <[paulrooney@aol.com](mailto:paulrooney@aol.com)> wrote in message  
news:s071k0tamqjdeboh37ji4qv5710skduqc0@4ax.com...  
> *On Thu, 9 Sep 2004 18:16:44 +0100, "Michael Saunby"*  
> *<msaunby@despammed.com> wrote:*  
> *<snip>*  
> *>Big deal. The original argument tried to make a claim that hunting*  
> *should*  
> *>be banned now because of how people voted in the last election. What*  
> *seems*  
> *>odd is that many of the most outspoken of these folks must also have*  
> *wanted*  
> *>a ban long before that, when there was no such majority. Again the*  
> *logic*  
> *>seems flawed. Why in the past would they argue for something that was*  
> *by*  
> *>their present measures unjust? Have these folks no integrity? Of*  
> *course*  
> *>they haven't.*  
> *>*  
> *>Michael Saunby*  
> *>*  
> *>*  
> *>*  
> *Sounds like sour grapes.*

Ehatever.

>  
> *As for rural landowners getting the right to hold firearms – Ha ha!*

They have it. Here and throughout the rest of Europe as far as I'm aware.

> *You really think there's any votes for Labour if they create a new*  
> *load of Tony Martins? I think not.*  
>

There's a lot of folks who reckon criminals get too easy a time at present.

Anyway, I'm not suggesting illegal ownership of shotguns for defence as with Martin but the legitimate use of them as a fun replacement for hunting with dogs. A lot of folks already shoot for pleasure, why shouldn't more do so?

- > *In any case, given the numbers of urban foxes, there would be no*
- > *reason to restrict such an absurdity to rural landowners. Hell, we'd*
- > *all get guns if your sort ever got the upper hand again.*
- >

What "sort" do you imagine I am? What sort do you imagine senior politicians to be? No your sort for sure.

Of course the closest thing you might be is a ranting loon of a backbencher.

- > *But that's never going to happen, is it?*
- >

It happened, it will continue to happen. Nothing to stop either of us owning firearms. Or are you really a crazy?

Michael Saunby