

Re: What is the Aquatic theory?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2004-09/1128.html>

From: firstjois (*firstjoisyike_at_hotmail.com*)

Date: 09/22/04

Date: Wed, 22 Sep 2004 12:08:13 -0400

Algis Kuliukas wrote:

>> *rmacfarl@alphalink.com.au (Ross Macfarlane) wrote in message*

>> *news:<18fa6145.0409212255.59cb59bc@posting.google.com>...*

>>> *"J Moore" <anthrosciguy@yahoo.com> wrote in message*

>>> *news:<1P44d.468992\$M95.105321@pd7tw1no>...*

>>>> *...*

>>>>> *Blimey. I thought the aquasceptic position was devoid of strong*

>>>>> *arguments but I never realised it had got *this* bad.*

>>>>>

>>>>> *I guess it's time to throw in the towel, you lot.*

>>

>>>> *Well, Algis, you see, this is a series of very silly jokes in this*

>>>> *part of the thread, you know: odd little statements that aren't*

>>>> *actually intended to be serious.*

>>>

>>> *<Sigh...> Not the first time that Algis has displayed a*

>>> *pathologically*

>>> *low level of sensitivity to irony...*

>>>

>>> *Ross Macafarlane*

>>

>> *You think firstjois is capable of irony? You know, I'd never thought*

>> *of that.*

>>

>> *Algis Kuliukas*

That's okay, I've been wondering about your thinking skills all along.

Jois