

Re: TOBS: WDDK

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2004-10/0189.html>

From: John Ings (*nodamned_at_spam.org*)

Date: 10/04/04

Date: Mon, 04 Oct 2004 10:08:31 -0700

On Mon, 4 Oct 2004 09:16:33 -0400, "Spartacus" <J@rogers.com> wrote:

>> >> *>Our eyes are vastly superior to cameras;*

>> >>

>> >> *In some respects.*

>> >

>> *>They last longer don't they?*

>>

>> *Mine haven't.*

>

>You mean you went blind like a cheap camera?

No, but the lens on a camera my age still work through a full range of focus, while the lenses in my eyes do not and require correction with auxiliary lenses.

> I'm on to your snake pit tactics

>anyway... Whatever I say will be wrong so you may be right,

No, you can be right too.

You haven't bothered to be so far, but you have the choice.

> at the expence

>of others, naturally! You're not hear to enlighten anyone but to argue your

>own, private, case

Private case? I argue for truth. I post majority opinions here.

It is you who argue for the nut fringe!

> as some Internet vigil anti seeking to dominate and destroy!

Well it is an internet vigil in a way. I'm a vigilante against Creationist lies and fundamentalist theology.

>But one more : "Latch Key Kid" seeking power from outside your building...

Your metaphor escapes me.

>*Only your arrogance precedes the poison someone will oneday serve you*
>*with your coffee... I have very little time for young Nazis from the skin*
>*head club or your kind of slop, crossposted from various other holes spitting out*
>*square pegs... Obviously, you and your worm collection are in the wrong*
>*place!*

Invective makes for inadequate rebattal.

Try addressing the points I made instead of blustering.

>*This isn't : "The Daily Planet!" Do you suspect you or your big group of*
>*cycle sluts and juveniles will ever grow up? That would be like trying to get a*
>*horse to squat on a toilet.... You wanna come from Apes? You prooved it to me!*

When things are proven to you, as in the previous posts, you resort to libel and invective. That's hardly encouragement for honest debate.

But that's OK, I've provoked you into showing your true colors. When defeated in argument, cuss and insult, that's your style.

Controversy is only dreaded by the advocates of error.