

## Re: Jim Moore: Flores man could not have survived predators

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2004-11/0295.html>

---

**From:** firstjois (*firstjoisyike\_at\_hotmail.com*)

**Date:** 11/03/04

Date: Tue, 2 Nov 2004 20:37:34 -0500

Philip Deitiker wrote:

>> *In sci.anthropology.paleo, richard01 created a*  
>> *message ID*  
>> *news:6e30eb22.0411020113.1a12262b@posting.google.com:*  
>>  
>>> *If you look at Jim Moore's notorious anti-aquatic ape theory site:*  
>>>  
>>> <http://www.aquaticape.org/predators.html>  
>>>  
>>> *you will find a quite persuasive, but half-baked theory that humans*  
>>> *could not live in the sea because of the problems of shark and*  
>>> *crocodile attack.*  
>>>  
>>> *In the Philippines, the Badjao sea gypsies live in or on the sea all*  
>>> *their lives. In fact, the real risk of shark or crocodile attack is*  
>>> *far less than equally life-threatening things like lightning or a*  
>>> *coconut falling on your head.*  
>>>  
>>> *If you believe Mr Moore's armchair reasoning, you will also realise*  
>>> *that the tiny Homo floresiensis could not possibly have survived in*  
>>> *an environment with sabre-toothed elephants, Komodo Dragons (and*  
>>> *even larger varanid lizards) let alone other predators on Flores.*  
>>>  
>>> *But they did.*  
>>>  
>>> *Richard*  
>>> *My weblog Seashore Foraging & Fishing is at*  
>>> <http://coconutstudio.blogspot.com>  
>>  
>> *From my experiance a prominent sign of a netloon is a person*  
>> *who trolls with personal names in thread titles.*  
>> *Secondarily you are lying about what Jim said and you should*  
>> *apologize, or be killfiled.*  
>>  
>> --  
>> *Philip*

sci.anthropology.paleo: Re: Jim Moore: Flores man could not have survived predators

Lots of people seem to be willing to wait for you to shape up, Richard, but you obviously seem to be swiftly moving to the end of your rope. What do you want from this group? An apology would be a good start.

Jois