

Re: Asian natural disaster or evolution in action?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2004-12/1090.html>

From: anonymous person (at_at_dot.com)

Date: 12/30/04

Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 15:35:46 -0600

"deowl" <deowl@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:QXYAd.3613\$Gj2.2714@bignews1.bellsouth.net...

>

> "-M" <trevor.mcnicol@gmail.com> wrote in message

> news:1104332859.491369.91450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

>> Your post shows an obvious lack of intelligence. You seem to have no

>> understanding of what evolution is. If you did you would know that

>> there is no correlation between a natural disaster and a tidal wave,

>> with the exception that perhaps those that survived were genetically

>> stronger swimmers. Natural selection has no correlation with

>> controlling populations or ridding the world of poverty. Natural

>> selection is genetic mistakes that are discarded 99.9% of the time and

>> perhaps passed on if they provide some advantage.

>>

>> And to answer your question. Your lack of understanding suggest you are

>> posting from the US, perhaps bible belt area.

>>

>

> I'm posting from the Bible belt and a tidal wave is a natural

> disaster, genius.

>

> Natural selection will definately limit a population.

>

> If a mutation provides an advantage why would you call it a mistake? Of

> course in order to be a mistake it has to have been done by intent rather

> than a random event.

>

> I'm not sure you know what natural selection is.

>

natural selection is that those species that survive their environment will reproduce and have offspring more likely to survive in future environments similar to the one the parents survived. So the people that survived the tsunami will have children more likely to survive future tsunamis.