

sci.anthropology.paleo: Re: Is the AAH a legitimate hypothesis? Of course it is.

Re: Is the AAH a legitimate hypothesis? Of course it is.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2005-01/0817.html>

From: Jim McGinn (jimmcginn_at_yahoo.com)

Date: 01/30/05

Date: 29 Jan 2005 23:00:23 -0800

Ross Macfarlane wrote:

> *Kaz wrote:*

> > *"Philip Deitiker" wrote*

<snip>

> > > *... there is substantively more in-situ
> > > evidence for expanding into savannah than
> > > into aquatic.*

<snip>

> > *And what is this in-situ evidence?*

<snip>

> *in-between amount carbon-13 ? more than the
> fruit eaters, less than the grass eaters.*

Interesting.

In another thread myself, Paul, and Val are discussing the supposition that large, size-scalable, hominid communities may have emerged in the earliest years of hominid evolution. One of the objections, raised by Val, involved resource shortages and the problems this would entail for a large group (especially during the monsoon dry season, I might add). It would seem that the emergence of hominid omnivory might be part of the solution. And I think this evidence indicate such.