

## Re: skinny runners

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2005-09/msg00183.html>

---

- *From:* "JAE" <[jae@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jae@xxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 7 Sep 2005 15:51:13 -0700
- 

Lee, you're arguing with someone singularly immune to reason. You're arguing with someone whose reasoning resembles that found in psychiatric lockdown. Marc will continue to insist that you prove a negative, will continue to insist that he has "comparative evidence" that "proves" his position and that you've still not got "one argument against" it. No appeals to reason (e.g. asking him to explain and defend this supposed comparative evidence, confronting him with the fact that his defense is a request to prove a negative, providing several arguments against the superbly nebulous 'scenario' he's supposedly advocating) will ever change this. I suspect you know this and I suspect you're just having fun at his expense or hope to at least dissuade those seemingly susceptible to his contagious illogic, but just in case you actually thought Marc could change his mind, he can't or won't.

.

---

- *Follow-Ups:*
  - ◆ [\*\*Re: skinny runners\*\*](#)
    - ◇ *From:* Lee Olsen
- *References:*
  - ◆ [\*\*Re: skinny runners\*\*](#)
    - ◇ *From:* Rich Travsky
  - ◆ [\*\*Re: skinny runners\*\*](#)
    - ◇ *From:* Marc Verhaegen
  - ◆ [\*\*Re: skinny runners\*\*](#)
    - ◇ *From:* Lee Olsen
  - ◆ [\*\*Re: skinny runners\*\*](#)
    - ◇ *From:* Marc Verhaegen
  - ◆ [\*\*Re: skinny runners\*\*](#)
    - ◇ *From:* Lee Olsen
- Prev by Date: [\*\*Re: olfaction \(Re: skinny runners\)\*\*](#)
- Next by Date: [\*\*Re: skinny runners\*\*](#)
- Previous by thread: [\*\*Re: skinny runners\*\*](#)
- Next by thread: [\*\*Re: skinny runners\*\*](#)

Re: skinny runners

- Index(es):
  - ◆ *Date*
  - ◆ *Thread*