

Re: grasp on the aquatic hypothesis

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2005-09/msg01182.html>

- *From:* "rmacfarl" <rmacfarl@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 29 Sep 2005 03:52:16 -0700
-

mclark wrote:

....

- > The final irony is that both Lee and JAE have jumped
- > all over my case in the past for my "tone".

A fair point...

....

- > Here, this is how it's spelled <P_L_O_N_K>

A great suggestion...

Ross Macfarlane

- > Now, whenever something crops up that is patently ridiculous, full
- > of error, plain stupid, devoid of fact, or otherwise an affront
- > to reason, I will dub it "Kuliukan".
- Michael Clark, 26-Jun-2005

.

• *References:*

- ◆ *grasp on the aquatic hypothesis*
 - ◇ *From:* Marc Verhaegen
- ◆ *Re: grasp on the aquatic hypothesis*
 - ◇ *From:* Lee Olsen
- ◆ *Re: grasp on the aquatic hypothesis*
 - ◇ *From:* Jois
- ◆ *Re: grasp on the aquatic hypothesis*
 - ◇ *From:* deowll
- ◆ *Re: grasp on the aquatic hypothesis*
 - ◇ *From:* mclark

- Prev by Date: *Re: A critique of the BBC aquatic ape programme and the transcript and crocs.*
- Next by Date: *Re: Savanna nonsense*

Re: grasp on the aquatic hypothesis

- Previous by thread: *Re: grasp on the aquatic hypothesis*
- Next by thread: *Obligate Non-Verbalism*
- Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*