

Re: apiths = chimp & gorilla relatives

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2005-10/msg00131.html>

- *From:* "Marc Verhaegen" <fa204466@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 5 Oct 2005 23:35:11 +0200
-

"Andrew Nowicki" <andrew@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:43430AE1.F0C778CA@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

> Andrew Nowicki wrote:

>

>> If the Apiths are not the ancestors of Homo, then
>> we have to explain why we could not find any bones
>> of Homo ancestors.

>

> Marc Verhaegen wrote:

>

>> But we find fossil Homo (*rudolfensis*) from probably
>> at least c 2.4 Ma (not so long after the H/P split).

>

> Very long ago.

2.4 Ma.

Your own article states:

- > "Asian pongids (orangutans) and African hominids
- > (gorillas, chimpanzees and humans) split 14–10
- > million years ago,"
- > We are talking about total absence of evidence. Not a splinter of a tooth!

??

You're are mixing up things, I'm afraid.

Homo & Pan split c 5 Ma.

Hominids & pongids split c 15 Ma or so.

We have a lot of hominid fossils older than 5 Ma: Sahelanthr (7), Orrorin (6), Samburupith (9), Ouranopith (8), possibly Oreopith (7), Dryopith (12)...

.

- *Follow-Ups:*
 - ◆ *Re: apiths = chimp & gorilla relatives*

Re: apiths = chimp & gorilla relatives

◇ *From:* Andrew Nowicki

• **References:**

◆ **Re: apiths = chimp & gorilla relatives (Re: Savanna nonsense)**

◇ *From:* Rich Travskey

◆ **Re: apiths = chimp & gorilla relatives**

◇ *From:* Andrew Nowicki

◆ **Re: apiths = chimp & gorilla relatives**

◇ *From:* Marc Verhaegen

◆ **Re: apiths = chimp & gorilla relatives**

◇ *From:* Andrew Nowicki

• Prev by Date: **Re: Hominid Fossils and Eustasy**

• Next by Date: **Shovelling of the Incisors Attention: Lee**

• Previous by thread: **Re: apiths = chimp & gorilla relatives**

• Next by thread: **Re: apiths = chimp & gorilla relatives**

• Index(es):

◆ **Date**

◆ **Thread**