

Re: Forum for rational scientific debate?

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2006-06/msg00316.html>

- *From:* "Jois" <firstjois@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 18 Jun 2006 22:37:33 -0400
-

<richardparker01@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1150680362.555287.191770@xx

Jois wrote:

<richardparker01@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1150674896.946343.133690@xx

Contributions to the discussions conducted in this forum by
Macfarlane
and McLark can be seen at:

And we hope to see your further contributions at some forum but not
here.

We can only hope.

Jois

Jois – you can be a real 'moderator' of a discussion forum, or you can
take sides.

I have only quoted what those two contributors said entirely by
themselves.

Are you a 'moderator' or partial?

This is maybe the first time that I've deliberately been
confrontational. I'm a bit fed up with being attacked by people who
haven't, really, anything much more to say than personal insults.

[snip]

Re: Forum for rational scientific debate?

If I display a lack of respect for a couple of old wallies that have been hovering around this "entirely open and free discussion group" for some years, then I can only ask that they engage their minds before they hit the keyboard.

regards

Richard

It isn't so much a lack of respect but that I'm 78% sure you are one of the ATT group who decided to post here to stir something up and said so in that forum months ago. Heck, it might have been a year ago. IF that's your goal then you should be ready for what you do stir up.

You can float whatever ideas you want but why would you want to do so before such a disinterested and unappreciative crowd?

Jois

..

.