

Re: Forum for rational scientific debate?

Re: Forum for rational scientific debate?

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2006-06/msg00336.html>

- *From:* "Jois" <firstjois@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 19 Jun 2006 09:38:23 -0400
-

"Claudius Denk" <cladiusdenk@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:pPslg.149322\\$F_3.64610@xx](news:pPslg.149322$F_3.64610@xx)

"Jois" <firstjois@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:24SdnYPzDqg8kwvZnZ2dnUVZ_rednZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

<richardparker01@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:1150680362.555287.191770@xx>

Jois wrote:

<richardparker01@xxxxxxxx> wrote in
message
<news:1150674896.946343.133690@xx>

[snip]

(I think it should say: "Dear Jois,"

So, your excuse for never having presented any content in your messages is
that you are too busy protecting this NG from AAT conspiracies?

LOL!

Heck, it might have been a year ago. IF that's your goal
then you should be ready for what you do stir up.

What is it about stirring up that, it seems, gives you such trepidations?

Ah, it's data – no data, no research, no science.

Re: Forum for rational scientific debate?

Re: Forum for rational scientific debate?

You can float whatever ideas you want but why would you want to do so before such a disinterested and unappreciative crowd?

Jois, why would you pretend to know other people's interests? Maybe you should be less concerned with what other people shouldn't think and more concerned with communicating what you think—assuming you think anything

at

all.

How long have you been reading here?

Don't be shy now, Jois. Go ahead. Tell us your thinking on the transitional years from apes to the first hominids? Go ahead. If nothing else it ought to be good for a few laughs.

Mario has "good ideas" and bless his pea-picking heart, they are wonderful and always make me laugh.

Have you read a lot of Mario's thinking?

Jois

.