

Re: Forum for rational scientific debate?

## Re: Forum for rational scientific debate?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2006-06/msg00383.html>

---

- *From:* "Jois" <firstjois@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Wed, 28 Jun 2006 00:05:24 -0400
- 

<richardparker01@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
<news:1151285245.551392.325150@xx>

rmacfarl wrote:  
Jois wrote  
"MClark" <men@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message

...

Without Mario, life would be alot less entertaining:

"Today we are nothing like primates."  
— Mario 10/31/2005

You're gonna have to get up alot earlier in the morning  
if you hope to complete with that kind of writing, mister.

Geeze, I haven't read the sig files in a while:

"I don't pretend [to be a world class evolutionary theorist] either.  
(I don't need to. I let my content speak for me on this point.)"

— Jim McGinn, 17-Feb-2003.

(It does.)

Ross Macfarlane :-)

Re: Forum for rational scientific debate?

Come back, Algis! All is forgiven!

-- MClark, 03 Dec 2005

This is 'hugely entertaining' stuff, but rational debate, no.

Sounds more like – "I sez to 'im, and she sez to me, so I sez back, so 'e sez.... and we all pick on someone whose English is not quite as wonderful as our American/Australian.

Good stuff – keep it up. Helps to make SAP such a wonderful contributor to the science of Paleoanthro–apology.

regards

Richard

I can't imagine why a newsgroup should be expected to be a contributor to the science of anything what so ever. Newsgroup implies that we make news? I don't think so.

And if you want to "and we all pick on someone whose English is not quite as wonderful as our American/Australian." please do it someplace else. If, on the other hand you think Marco is getting the treatment royal because of his English, you need to do a little close reasoning with Mario.

Good Grief!

Jois

.