

Re: A question Marc best not answer

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2006-08/msg00109.html>

- *From:* "spiznet" <mark@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 28 Jul 2006 20:16:50 -0700
-

Jim McGinn wrote:

Hey Marco, Whatever happened to the aquatic phase? Did you phase it out?

Yes, as he is currently stating:

"Aquatic Ape Theory" is an inaccurate term: it's not about apes, nor about

having been aquatic. AAT states that our ancestors sometime after the Homo/Pan split relied partly on aquatic resources:

– "Homo": AAT, contrary to what many PAs think, has nothing to do with australopiths, c

– "littoral": it's about our ancestors having been shoreline dwellers (coast/lake/riverside),

– "diaspora": Homo remains 1.8 Ma are found in places as far as Ain Hanech

(Algeria), Dmanisi (Georgia), Mojokerto (Java) etc.: AAT simply says that

these people got there along shorelines, not over dry plains. Leading PAs

such as Ph.Tobias <http://allserv.rug.ac.be/~mvaneech/outthere.htm> &

Chr.Stringer now agree with a "wet" past & shoreline dispersals

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/AAT>

Spiznet PR

.