

Re: Bipedal P & G

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2007-07/msg00163.html>

- *From:* Lee Olsen <paleocity@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 09 Jul 2007 13:04:18 -0700
-

On Jul 9, 12:05 pm, Marc Verhaegen <m_verhae...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Op 09-07-2007 20:36, in artikel
1184006181.901677.214...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Lee Olsen
<paleoc...@xxxxxxxxxxx> schreef:

On Jul 9, 9:43 am, Marc Verhaegen <m_verhae...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Op 09-07-2007 14:35, in artikel
1183984540.647898.88...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Lee Olsen
<paleoc...@xxxxxxxxxxx> schreef:

Yes, inland Homo along the rivers had to get the little bit of bone marrow they found in drowned antelopes to get enough poly-unsat.fatty acids (esp.DHA): at the coast, where they had learnt to use stone tools to open shells etc., they had plenty of these brain-specific FAs in seafoods (the reason why they got large brains).

Comparative imagination again.

Comparative *facts*, my boy.

Re: Bipedal P & G

Comparative imagination doughboy.

No, my boy: physiological *facts* you're too stupid too answer.
Just listen to what "real" PAs have to say on the subject:

Yes, why don't you try something up-to-date like Roche et al. (1999) and Semaw (2000) for some real evidence, your tired old spiritual-phenomenon imagination evidence is pathetic.

Gona = 2.6 mya = core tools = cut-marked antelope bones = meat eating = smart brains = ostrich eggs = land tortoise = savanna = NULL hypothesis until someone proves different. Imaginary scenarios that make up evidence, such as utilization of algae that doesn't exist, is pseudo-science.

Tobias 1995

<snip pseudo-scientists who think lions were making the stone tools found on the savanna.>

.