

Re: Marc was right

Re: Marc was right

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2007-07/msg00279.html>

- *From:* Marc Verhaegen <m_verhaegen@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 13 Jul 2007 13:10:21 +0200
-

Op 13-07-2007 01:58, in artikel
1184284733.529517.4560@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Lee Olsen
<paleocity@xxxxxxxxxxx> schreef:

On Jul 12, 12:54 pm, Marc Verhaegen <m_verhae...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
No answer, no coconuts, no algae, no oysters for 2.4 million years
(until modern Homo), what a lame argument for evolution of early
Homo. Creationists must love you, all spiritual
phenomenon---no evidence, how sad.

Don't be ridiculous, my boy: *physiol.*facts**:
PV Tobias 1998
"Water and human evolution"
Out There 3:38-44
<http://allserv.rug.ac.be/~mvaneech/outthere.htm>

The Savannah Hypothesis – From 1925 to 1995 almost everyone grew up on the
"received wisdom" that the Hominidae (the family of mankind) was born on the
savannah, believed to have been the ideal crucible in which the strange form
of locomotion known as bipedalism came into being. The idea is an old one.
Robert Broom, in his 1933 book *The Coming of Man: was it Accident or Design?*
stated: "Before Australopithecus was discovered some of us believed that the
ancestor of man would be found in an anthropoid ape which had left the
forest and taken to living on the plains and among the rocks; and here (in
Australopithecus, the Taung child) we have just such a form."
Raymond Dar

Re: Marc was right