

Re: Elaine Morgan

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2007-07/msg00527.html>

- *From:* Marc Verhaegen <m_verhaegen@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 24 Jul 2007 01:27:55 +0200
-

Op 23-07-2007 23:11, in artikel
1185225103.949818.255580@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Lee Olsen
<paleocity@xxxxxxxx> schreef:

Here is one of Marc's latest diatribes:

<http://tinyurl.com/2v4kw3>

Or

Message-ID: <C26408C2.1D71%m_verhaegen@xxxxxxxx>

Marc "FYI:

AAT = Homo littoral diaspora.

Aquatic Ape Theory is an inaccurate term: it's not about apes, nor about

having been aquatic. AAT states that our ancestors sometime after the Homo/Pan split relied partly on aquatic resources:

– Homo: AAT, contrary to what many PAs think, has nothing to do with australopiths,

– littoral: it's about our ancestors having been shoreline dwellers (coast/lake/river-side),

– diaspora: Homo remains 1.8 Ma are found in places as far as Ain Hanech

(Algeria), Dmanisi (Georgia), Mojokerto (Java) etc.: AAT simply says that

these people got there along shorelines, not over dry plains."

As you can see, Marc's version of AAT is so watered down, it doesn't have any explanatory powers,

Re: Elaine Morgan

said the Savanna Fool.

My little boy, if your ancestors had been savanna runners 2.5 Ma, you had had no SC fat, no sweating, nu bipedality, no nakedness, no tool use, no nothing. Are you really that stupid that you can't see the possibility that our ancestors once might have collected foods at the waterside? You're a pathetic fool that is wasting our time.

.