

Re: The human urinary system – comparative anatomy help request

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2007–08/msg00292.html>

- *From:* Marc Verhaegen <m_verhaegen@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 17 Aug 2007 18:35:08 +0200
-

IOW,Olson is too stupid to answer.

Op 17–08–2007 14:51, in artikel
1187355105.397302.8630@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Lee Olsen
<paleocity@xxxxxxxxx> schreef:

On Aug 15, 4:17 pm, Marc Verhaegen <m_verhae...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:

That human ancestors were littoral in the past (after the H/P split c.5 Ma)
is a fact

Message–ID: <I%ENe.266469\$5V4.165741@pd7tw3no>
Rick Wagler: "Saying this does not 'contradict' hominids living
elsewhere
only indicates you have mastered one of the great
logical fallacies. You can't prove a negative so its
no use asking. What you need to do is get positive
evidence for coastal, Indian Ocean hominds. Your
inability to understand this basic point is what will
forever confine you to the lunatic fringe."

Message–ID: <1124421294.671438.286120@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Jason Eshleman: "You, Marc, are a low–life, a real sleazebag
sociopath. If it makes you feel
better to repeat ad nauseum that no one has an argument against your
scenario, you really ought to get your medication adjusted. It might
actually make you less of a dickhead.
You are asking for someone to contradict something
that you've not made a case for. You are asking someone to prove a
negative. This isn't science, though I suspect you don't know what
science is and as such will continue your mentally ill diatribes."

Re: The human urinary system – comparative anatomy help request

(except for outdated savanna believers), but the exact details of the evolution (esp.how exactly?) still needs lots of data.

Jason Eshleman: "You're argumentative style is to set up a strawman, claiming that the "alternative" is the "savanna" as if that somehow has meaning. It doesn't. You're a nutcase, a delusional fool who passes off his correspondence to Nature like it was a peer reviewed article, who passes off his TREE article like it was original research and not an opinion piece, and who pretends that he's got stratocladistic support for things for which he does not. You're a fraud, and on top of that, you're an asshole."