

Re: Michael Clark, would you like to make a retraction?

## Re: Michael Clark, would you like to make a retraction?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2008-02/msg00510.html>

---

- *From:* "Makouli" <[men@xxxxxxxx](mailto:men@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 15 Feb 2008 16:53:51 -0600
- 

<[claudiusdenk@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:claudiusdenk@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:fb9a30b1-5661-4f15-83d6-73899f097b20@xx](mailto:news:fb9a30b1-5661-4f15-83d6-73899f097b20@xx)  
On Feb 15, 1:10 pm, Lee Olsen <[paleoc...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:paleoc...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Feb 15, 12:49 pm, [claudiusd...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:claudiusd...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx) wrote:

Answer the question you evasive twit.

Says the illiterate. Take Barnes advice and hit the books, Jim.

Dan Barnes: "...a number of people have suggested that the best thing you can do is do substantial background reading, reframe your arguement and come back again."

Let me get this straight. You think the savanna is a forest and \*I\* should hit the books?

You get something straight? That'll be the day. I suppose Lee has already pointed out what a willfully ignorant ignoramus you are so there's no point in going over \*that\*