

Re: Michael Clark, would you like to make a retraction?

Re: Michael Clark, would you like to make a retraction?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2008-02/msg00576.html>

- *From:* "Claudius Denk" <claudiusdenk@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 16 Feb 2008 14:12:42 -0800
-

"Makouli" <men@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:95mdnZU05JNiiivanZ2dnUVZ_t2inZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

<claudiusdenk@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:fb9a30b1-5661-4f15-83d6-73899f097b20@xx>
On Feb 15, 1:10 pm, Lee Olsen <paleoc...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Feb 15, 12:49 pm, claudius...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

Answer the question you evasive twit.

Says the illiterate. Take Barnes advice and hit the books, Jim.

Dan Barnes: "...a number of people have suggested that the best thing you can do is do substantial background reading, reframe your argument and come back again."

Let me get this straight. You think the savanna is a forest and *I* should hit the books?

You get something straight? That'll be the day. I suppose Lee has already pointed out what a willfully ignorant ignoramus you are so there's no point in going over *that* again.

I think you guys should have checked notes with each other before you started volunteering the specifics of conventional theory. Now you got

Re: Michael Clark, would you like to make a retraction?

Re: Michael Clark, would you like to make a retraction?

problems.

I suppose he's further pointed out that no one thinks "the savanna is a forest" and that your statement to the contrary then constitutes a ~lie~

I think you need to be more concerned about the fact that y'all can't get your stories straight.

and that, finally, *yes*, you should "hit the books" --advice given in good faith to you ten years ago when you first showed up (and roundly ignored). I suspect that you will ignore this good advice today and that you will continue to believe that you know something about PA when you don't.

Don't look now but your evasiveness is showing.

I believe Ted Holden will continue to insist that there is a face on Mars, Ed Conrad will persist in his efforts to elect a Carboniferous Man from Pennsylvania, and that you, Dimmy, will continue to believe in your powers as the greatest living evolutionary theorist (sorry, Pauly). Your mother should hang her head in shame --but perhaps she's left this world and thus doesn't have to look at you and thus lament the day she slipped off that bar stool and into the arms of all those sailors.

I don't know why you are so mad at me. I think that anybody reading this whole thread can only come to one conclusion that being that I gave you multiple opportunities to retract your statement and/or explain your reasoning.

.

Re: Michael Clark, would you like to make a retraction?