

Dry ape "discussions" (Re: Michael Clark, would you like to make a retraction?)

Dry ape "discussions" (Re: Michael Clark, would you like to make a retraction?)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2008-02/msg00590.html>

- *From:* Marc Verhaegen <m_verhaegen@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 17 Feb 2008 08:45:01 +0100
-

Typical dry ape discussions:

Op 16-02-2008 22:51, in artikel
bVIItj.57820\$Pv2.4009@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Claudius Denk
<claudiusdenk@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> schreef:

"Lee Olsen" <paleocity@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:b87b3a52-52a4-4aca-996a-856826781037@xx
On Feb 15, 2:16 pm, claudiusd...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Feb 15, 1:10 pm, Lee Olsen <paleoc...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Feb 15, 12:49 pm, claudiusd...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

Answer the question you evasive twit.

Says the illiterate. Take Barnes advice and hit the books, Jim.

Dan Barnes: "...a number of people have suggested that the best thing you can do is do substantial background reading, reframe your argument and come back again."

Let me get this straight. You think the savanna is a forest and *I* should hit the books?

Dry ape "discussions" (Re: Michael Clark, would you like to make a retraction?)

What's the matter loon, you don't have a direct quote? Of course not,
because there isn't one.
See a shrink.

Me thinks thou doth protest too much.