

# Re: Faster Than A Hyena?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2008-02/msg00756.html>

---

- *From:* "Paul Crowley" <[slkwuoiutiuytciuyik@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:slkwuoiutiuytciuyik@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Thu, 21 Feb 2008 13:47:28 -0000
- 

<pgarrone@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
[news:b2bb32ab-1853-4dc7-a826-acfdf18f62e3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:b2bb32ab-1853-4dc7-a826-acfdf18f62e3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

After some research I see there is evidence that early homo (pre-erectus) did make it out of Africa, and on re-reading Leakey, I see that all species of homo, including the early/questionable ones, were runners,

How come, after mastering this niche, they stopped occupying it?

though the A'piths were not.

So A'piths clearly occupied a different niche (and different habitats) from later homo.

How come all hominids before Homo (as represented by A'piths) went into extinction — after so successfully occupying a distinct niche for a few million years?

Bad evolutionary theorists — such as the savanna types (e.g. Leakey) and the aquatic nuts — love to indulge in promiscuous niche-swapping. It can 'explain' everything, and avoids all need for thought.

It seems that they are quite unfamiliar with all of nature, and the fact that taxa and species prefer to stick to the same kind of habitat, and ways of life. They

Re: Faster Than A Hyena?

have no understanding of evolution,  
nor of the concept of 'evolutionary  
niche'.

Paul.

.