

Re: Faster Than A Hyena?

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2008-02/msg01097.html>

- *From:* "Paul Crowley" <slkwuoiutiuytciuyik@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 28 Feb 2008 14:15:46 -0000
-

<pgarrone@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:200d8275-66c5-44ef-971f-1afb6653e941@xx

Moreover between 1 and 2 million
years ago ground-living monkeys – the baboons – were also
becoming
highly successful and burgeoning in numbers, and would
have competed
with Australopithecines for food. The Australopithecines
might well
have succumbed to a twofold competitive pressure– from
homo on one
side and baboons on the other."
Leakey 1994 "The origin of Humankind" p 58

This dreadful 'reasoning' is best described
as 'fantasy'.

Leakey is rather famous, you know.

Leakey is just another savanna fantasist.

They lived in a different habitat. Apiths
are supposed to sleep in trees, and
homo not. (All nonsense, of course)
But standard theory says they did not
occupy the same habitat.

The definition of savanna incorporates trees, just without enclosed
canopy. So where they slept is irrelevant as to whether territories
overlapped.

Re: Faster Than A Hyena?

The issue of the kinds of trees in which
Apiths slept is never discussed within
standard PA -- since the whole notion
is tacitly recognised as nonsensical