

## Re: Exploitation d'un grand cé tacé au Paléolithique ancien (Re: "carnivore tooth marks")

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2008-03/msg00515.html>

---

- *From:* rmacfarl <[rmacfarl@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:rmacfarl@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Thu, 13 Mar 2008 00:59:44 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On Mar 13, 11:28 am, "Paul Crowley"  
<[slkwuoiutiuytcu...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:slkwuoiutiuytcu...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

Paul Crowley's approach to science: an opening unsupported speculation which continues for another 28 lines –

I don't see javelins being reliably useful against any prey. You'd have to get close enough, and the prey would soon understand their danger, and keep the appropriate distance. You certainly can't expect the prey to stand still after you've thrown the weapon.

...

Gerrit Hanenburg's approach: a 5-line quote from a primary reference, which summarily dismisses Paul's opening gambit & renders the rest invalid –

Because "They were highly sophisticated long-distance throwing weapons, which reflect an extraordinary skill in wood-working, to produce well-balanced ballistic characteristics. A test reconstruction indicates a range of up to 60 m with good penetrative power" (Thieme 2005).

Ross Macfarlane

.