

Re: Exploitation d'un grand cé tacé au Paléolithique ancien (Re: "carnivore tooth marks")

Re: Exploitation d'un grand cé tacé au Paléolithique ancien (Re: "carnivore tooth marks")

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2008-03/msg00521.html>

- *From:* Lee Olsen <paleocity@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 13 Mar 2008 08:48:46 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Mar 13, 4:41 am, "Paul Crowley"
<slkwuoiutiuytcu...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

None of this means that their principal use was for hunting large prey — nor even that it was a significant function at all. It's like suggesting that all the 'personal weapons' carried around by most of the adult males in Afghanistan or in eastern Los Angeles are intended mainly for hunting wild animals.

Paul.

Says the brainless dimwit who thinks Neanderthals couldn't hunt.

Message-ID: <3257D73F.73A7@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>#1/1

"You really crack me up, Paul Crowley. If you keep it up I'm going to hurt myself.

First, you present the "fact" that there were no lions in Europe as evidence that Neanderthals didn't hunt. Then, when someone points out that there *were* lions in Europe, you claim that as *conclusive* evidence that Neanderthals didn't hunt.

BTW, you seem to think that the existence of one predator precludes the

existence of any others. That's rather difficult to believe given that

in Africa lions, leopards, cheetahs, hyenas, and wild dogs coexist and

prey on roughly the same species."

Steve Barnard

.