

Re: we're not going to see much sensible.

Re: we're not going to see much sensible.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2008-04/msg00529.html>

- *From:* claudiusdenk@xxxxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Sun, 20 Apr 2008 10:28:59 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Apr 20, 5:47 am, "Makouli" <m...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

"Day Brown" <daybr...@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message

news:5666d04a-aa29-4767-9edb-5f181b72f24c@xx

On Apr 19, 8:50 pm, Lee Olsen <paleoc...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Apr 19, 6:24 pm, Day Brown <daybr...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Apr 19, 6:27 pm, Lee Olsen
<paleoc...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:> >That's
not stupidity, just asinine.

And your adding to the
flame war is not?

Thats a statement of fact Lee.

What would you know about facts?

For one, facts are most often challenged in a disrespectful way.
There's no real attempt at discourse, only the effort to prove
superiority in order to feed an ego. Epictetus:"When I man showed me I
was wrong, I was grateful to no longer be thinking wrongly. But when I

Re: we're not going to see much sensible.

performed the same service for another, he always went away angry."

I've worked with the retarded, and when you show them they are wrong, they are not angry at me, but dismayed. Anger is often expressed as asininity, and is a symptom of neurosis, not stupidity.

The facts in this thread are few; all the remains of the early hominids at issue would fit in a foot locker. We have no way of knowing whether they are really representative of most hominids alive at the time, and the fact is, we really don't know how many there were in ecosystems that did not favor the preservation of fossils.

The recent skull found in Chad was in what had been a seasonal delta like the Okavango. We have no way of knowing if the bone found was deposited there by floodwaters or whether the individual died in situ. We know that animals, including hominids, when sick, even if normally living on savannah, will seek water, and more often die near it. The proximity of the waterway proves very little.

We really don't have many facts, and what we do have does not warrant the passion I've seen expressed.

Would you please stop with the hand-wringing already?

You first.

Read Marco's posts.

Done.

Then come back and say that there's just too much passion in this debate.

There is too much passion in this debate. You have no viable alternative.

Re: we're not going to see much sensible.

Re: we're not going to see much sensible.

The recent Carbon/
Oxygen isotope exchange should be enough of an example.

Why?

Marco will spend days calling "Savanna Fantasts" STUPID, STUPID, STUPID --even when shown to have missed the point by a country mile. Then he'll shut up for 3 or 4 months only to come back with the same flawed assertions.

Assertion for which you admit you have no alternative. Right? Put up or shut up jackass.

How
long has he been going on about sedges and C4?

How long has Lee been going on and on about his enduring running nonsense. Years?

Years?
How do you make sense of the assertion that hominid microwear shows similarities with Capybara and thus clearly indicates a semi-aquatic lifestyle?

Why not just present your alternative and let the chips fall where they may.

Oh, that's right. You don't have an alternative. Mikey, it appears you are down the river without a paddle.

How long do you
suppose you'll have to pour that in my ear before I want to shoot you?

Maybe you should find a hobby that doesn't involve thought.

Marco and Pauly and Dimmy et al richly
deserve every ass-kickin' they get--and so do you.

Re: we're not going to see much sensible.

Re: we're not going to see much sensible.

It's unfortunate that you don't have confidence in your own thinking to let it stand on its own merits. Of course that would assume that you had any thinking in the first place, which obviously is not the case.

Is it not obvious that even a bad hypothesis, like Marc's, is better than no hypothesis at all?

.

Re: we're not going to see much sensible.