

Re: we're not going to see much sensible.

Re: we're not going to see much sensible.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2008-04/msg00605.html>

- *From:* Day Brown <daybrown@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 21 Apr 2008 19:00:30 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Apr 20, 7:47 am, "Makouli" <m...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Would you please stop with the hand-wringing already? Read Marco's posts. Then come back and say that there's just too much passion in this debate. The recent Carbon/Oxygen isotope exchange should be enough of an example. Marco will spend days calling "Savanna Fantasts" STUPID, STUPID, STUPID ---even when shown to have missed the point by a country mile. Then he'll shut up for 3 or 4 months only to come back with the same flawed assertions. How long has he been going on about sedges and C4? Years? How do you make sense of the assertion that hominid microwear shows similarities with Capybara and thus clearly indicates a semi-aquatic lifestyle? How long do you suppose you'll have to pour that in my ear before I want to shoot you? Marco and Pauly and Dimmy et al richly deserve every ass-kickin' they get ---and so do you.

i dunno any way to prove that the remains which we have are representative of the line, or only represent those areas hominids were sometimes in that tended to preserve the bones.

I dont care about the moral issues; go to alt.philosophy for that. If someone presents new data or an interpretation, then he deserves my attention, but not my passion or devotion.

.