

SF's arguments: "LOL"

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology.paleo/2008-05/msg00310.html>

- *From:* Marc Verhaegen <m_verhaegen@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 12 May 2008 12:59:45 +0200
-

Op 12-05-2008 06:58, in artikel 4827CE59.C1718A15@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, Rich Travsky <traRvEsky@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> schreef:

nickname wrote:

On May 5, 5:08 pm, Rich Travsky <traRvE...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

nickname wrote:

On May 5, 3:23 pm, Rich Travsky
<traRvE...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

nickname wrote:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=88aZ3jZAgYQ>

Why does he need a full wet
suit and googles? :-D

He doesn't. Would you backfloat naked in
front of a video camera for
distribution on the internet? I wouldn't
either.

This is weak, even for you.

SF's arguments: "LOL"

I answered your weak question.

It was a devastating question.

Naked? They guy could just wear trunks.

So?

"So" – LOL. Another weak response.