

## Re: P.Comm – Follow up – Is It True black hips are narrower...

**Source:** <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology/2004–07/0106.html>

---

**From:** P.Comm (*tjsrno\_at\_spampost.com*)

**Date:** 07/01/04

Date: Thu, 01 Jul 2004 22:20:08 GMT

"DesertCactus" <desertcactus@emailcorner.net> wrote in message news:f25f7f62.0406280430.59cb5fc7@posting.google.com...

> > *Yes. The two go together. Like, we write because we have dextrous hands.*

> > *Dogs do not write because they do not have dextrous hands. We did not*

> > *evolve hands in order to write. We write because we CAN. Larger hipped*

> > *women CAN have bigger brained babies. It's not that small hipped women do*

> > *not – they might have them too but then they might die trying to give birth*

> > *to them, or the babies might die. Back when all this was being selected*

> > *for – there was no modern medicine, no maternity wards.*

>

> *But if testosterone controls both hip–size and aggression, then the*

> *traits of aggression and hip–width are inextricably connected?*

Maybe – aggression might surface due to other causes. Testosterone PLUS something, might be what triggers that kind of (what we consider today) asocial aggression, destructiveness.

> *The theory of more aggressive africans (higher testosterone, narrower*

> *hips) pushing less aggressive africans (lower testosterone, wider*

> *hips) into Europe and Asia to become caucasians and asians is*

> *possible.*

That's what I think James said. It makes sense, but it might not be all there was to it. It does make sense, why in hell would H sapiens risk danger in new places they never went to before, and not stay on familiar land? Perhaps to get away from the others? Good theory. As good as any other theories. Some anthropologist think that the ones that left ALREADY had a kind of Euro–Asian appearance – while the others had a more Africanish appearance. It makes sense with the fox study too. Scientists ONLY selecting foxes for their more friendly behavior, in a few generations got a bunch of more friendly foxes – and they LOOKED DIFFERENT TOO. So that shows that selecting for BEHAVIOR – changes the APPEARANCE TOO. I must have said

that 100 times on here – and it got ignored, LMAO. Perhaps selecting in favor of appearance – you get people that have markedly different behavior? Makes sense to me. The two went together, and they ALSO go together on that clade/lineage experiment when ONE GENE contains behavior AND appearance. A whole package.

It's possible the racial hip–width difference has no  
> *relevance and is simply a side–effect of testosterone.*

Possible. It's possible that hip width difference is the main thing and that aggression is the side effect, too. Or it's possible they go together. No one can experiment on humans to find out.

> *I don't think there is any credible evidence I've seen of different  
> races having differently sized babies, with different sized heads  
> (largest part of baby) and therefore brain size. If anyone has some  
> please post it.  
> It's possible the female black's hip size is wide enough for the  
> largest baby for 99% of births?*

Ask James.

>  
> *Another interesting thing is that and other male posters on here will  
> admit it also if they are honest, males tend to find women with wider  
> hips more attractive, by the way contrary to some opinions, beauty is  
> NOT in the eye of the beholder in most senses but in fact most males  
> are attracted to the same kind of features.*

Yup!

> *Males are attracted to certain things in a woman for a reason, they  
> are more likely to be attracted to a woman who is likely to be able to  
> give birth to a healthy baby.*

And have lots of milk for the baby and no problem breastfeeding! :)

> *In past times males who were not attracted to females with good baby  
> producing qualities chose less able women and did not pass their genes  
> on as effectively.  
> So this may offer the possibility that wider hips do help in some way  
> with giving birth.  
>  
>  
> > *Also, real information about the brain itself, when comparing races to  
each  
> > other, is not easy to come by at all.  
>  
> Not easy to come by? Try non–existent. Reliable scientific information  
> about comparing brains of different races of which the research took  
> place in modern times does not exist to my knowledge.**

sci.anthropology: Re: P.Comm – Follow up – Is It True black hips are narrower...

It exists, but you have to go around in a circle and connect the dots to find it, like what was done to finally conclude that blacks have higher testosterone WHICH CAUSES more aggression. Heh.

Where would

> *professional scientists get the funding for such 'racist' research?*

They'd have to get it in order to research something ELSE. Like, the bone density studies – showing that testosterone – were all done as part of cancer studies, to prevent cancer. However, we connect the dots – HIGHER TESTOSTERINE – and denser bones. But we also know that higher T means more aggressive behavior – and if blacks have the higher T (we know that from bone density cancer prevention studies, LOL) – then 2 plus 2 is 4. See? Round in a circle, connect the dots.