

Re: Stephen J. Gould and anthropological fraud

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology/2004-07/0345.html>

From: DesertCactus (*desertcactus_at_emailcorner.net*)

Date: 07/06/04

Date: 6 Jul 2004 04:23:43 -0700

- > > *If we are to look at which races will be most populous in the world in*
- > > *the future it will probably be blacks for that reason,*
- >
- > *What if no one from the civilized societies HANDED THEM medicine anymore?*
- > *Then what? What if no one HANDED THEM food when there is another famine, or*
- > *war, or whatever? Then what?*

The population would decline rapidly. The food given to them by other countries isn't just combating a famine. In Ethiopia I believe it is, the environment simply cannot support the population that is there, there simply shouldn't be that many people living in that particular area. When a famine occurs due to natural reasons foreign aid kicks in and the population increases even more, the population there is actually living on foreign aid food and multiplying it's population. The lives of some of the people there seems only to be having many children.

- > *added to the*
- > > *fact that the poorer layers of society in richer countries are often*
- > > *black, and the poorer layers often have larger families.*
- >
- > *Many of the people from Europe that came to the USA were POOR people who had*
- > *very large families. They managed to do some very smart things. Imo,*
- > *smarter people tend to have less kids because they are interested in*
- > *THINGS – they're not so interested in goo gooing and gah gahing with the*
- > *children – and not so interested in all that comes with the family thing.*
- > *They might think babies are cute, someone else's. But they might not want*
- > *one 24/7. Talking to a baby is like talking to a retarded person, they*
- > *can't have intellectual mind fun with babies. Like the DINKS – dual income,*
- > *no kids – they are usually intellectual people that like pursueing*
- > *intellectual things, or going out and enjoying themselves, or they see the*
- > *world's a holy mess and do not want to bring a kid into it – they aren't*
- > *intersted in changing diapers. Stupider people well, they don't get*
- > *interested in THINGS like that. That's my opinion for the trend we see*
- > *today. I might be wrong, but I tend to see that these days.*
- > >
- > > *China might have more people than the whole of africa combined but if*

sci.anthropology: Re: Stephen J. Gould and anthropological fraud

- > > *two men are building a house and the Chinese man has a more developed*
- > > *structure, with more bricks on it, a head-start, the African man who*
- > > *is adding bricks faster will still overtake the Chinese man.*
- >
- > *Did Africans ever figure out HOW to make concrete or bricks on their own?*
- > *NO. Who would GIVE the Africans bricks? You see, someone else IS giving*
- > *them these things, the food for famines, the medicine for illness, the guns,*
- > *all of it, even the western style clothing. THEY are inventing NONE of it.*
- > *They never did.*
- > >

Let's hope they don't get their hands on nuclear weapons.

- > > *I know there are factors like religion but many Africans are Catholic*
- > > *for example as well, and interestingly I believe Italy, a traditionally*
- > > *Catholic country has a declining population as well.*
- >
- > *Well, with advanced societies, as I said, there are MANY pleasure things out*
- > *there to be had and done. People would rather have fun than have to take*
- > *care of babies.*

Yes but the fact is using contraception for Catholics is a mortal sin. They are not allowed to use any unnatural methods of birth control. They can space children by using Natural Family Planning, which is abstaining during the woman's fertile time, that's all.

- > >
- > > *Also if governments need more younger people if their countries*
- > > *population is declining as is the case in Europe and Russia they often*
- > > *consider immigration to be a solution, and if all countries are*
- > > *declining except Africa that's where the immigrants are going to come*
- > > *from, actually many illegal African immigrants and immigrants from*
- > > *other parts of the world come to Europe as well.*
- >
- > *And sure enough, many European countries are having a backlash reaction to*
- > *it where they want to kick immigrants like that out. Italy wants to bomb*
- > *them if they try to come there. Spain has barbed wire walls to keep them*
- > *out.*

True but still the legal immigrants come. Many African university graduates leave their country obviously because they want to live in a better place.

As more racial mixing occurs people will be less inclined to oppose immigration purely because of the immigrants' race.

- > > *So Blacks will be the majority of the world's population eventually,*
- > > *and that is a sign of a SUCCESSFUL race, heh.*
- >
- > *No, it's the sign of a race that is getting by due to the HAND OUTS of*
- > *smarter races that are stupid enough to hand anything to them at all.*

Exactly. They prosper because of our stupidity, but still they prosper, they multiply, we have a declining population, we die out? They populate the world? who is more successful?

> *China*

> *will be the next dominant race. I'd bet money on that one. They have the*

> *people – Imagine if they STOP birth controlling? – and they are SMART. THEY*

> *are not accepting immigrants from Africa – NO fucking way, LMAO.*

Don't they accept African students into their universities? They must allow blacks into their country, to not do so would be racist and illegal I believe. As Asia get's richer, which it is, it will be as attractive to the illegal immigrants as Europe currently is. In fact not only is intelligence related to an individual's wealth but also the intelligence of a countries population is linked to it's wealth. Places like Japan, singapore etc have overtaken the USA in GDP I believe.

I believe Ruston's wrote some stuff about this, 'IQ and the wealth of nations' or something. He even has a map with IQ and GDP compared.

> > *Things like AIDS will come into it, but I still believe that is what*

> > *will happen.*

>

> *Think about it again. Imagine NO ONE gives them any more hand outs or help.*

> *They don't have the ability to make the stuff on their own.*

That won't happen though P.Comm. Why would it? Governments are encouraged to give I believe it's 1% of their GDP to foreign aid by the United Nations. Some give more some give less. Even if governments didn't they'll always be charities and religious organisations giving help.

Do you know that it costs 1 dollar a day for the medicines to treat an AID infected person, 1 dollar a day doesn't sound like much, but multiply it by the amount of people infected in africa only (10's of millions) then remember it's per day, and it's a lot of money. Also the medicines don't cure AIDS they just prolong life so that the AIDS infected can infect more people. You know that africans rape babies in the belief that it will cure them of AIDS?

AIDS could be eradicated quite easily by simply quarantining the infected, difficult in africa where the average AIDS infected per country is 35% of the populous I believe, but it would be an effective way.

AIDS is also an emerging problem in India, China and Russia.