

Re: Could anyone explain this please?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology/2004-07/0497.html>

From: P.Comm (tjsrno_at_spampost.com)

Date: 07/10/04

Date: Sat, 10 Jul 2004 01:31:06 GMT

See to the end, I commented on a lot.

"DesertCactus" <desertcactus@emailcorner.net> wrote in message
news:f25f7f62.0407090512.1582162b@posting.google.com...

> > >

> > > *Well according to David Duke it was Jewish people who were involved in
> > > the creation of the film, but you can't take that too seriously
> > > considering all the Jewish conspiracy theories he comes up with *rolls
> > > eyes*, even if it was true, it may just be because so many Jewish
> > > people work in the film industry.*

> >

> > *The fact is, Duke is telling you the truth there. That IS who produced
> > them. Imagine how the Jewish folks that got beat the shit out of daily,
and*

> > *got their stores utterly destroyed dueing riots felt about these
bleeding*

> > *heart liberal types of Jews. THEY HATED THEM.*

>

> *Why would Jews who lived though riots etc want to incite more riots
> and destructive behaviour among the black population?*

Imo, they foolishly identified with them due to the holocaust. In Professor
MacDonald's opinion, it was something very different.

>

> > *I think the director and many of the
> > > writers are (white possibly) italians interestingly enough, they have
> > > made many similar films.*

> > > *The film was condemned by black pressure groups aswell, presumably
> > > because it portrayed blacks as savage murdering monsters.*

> >

> > *LMAO – I can imagine blacks WOULD condemn it – it's not the message they
> > tried to get going for anyone. Compare that kind of movie to ML King's
> > message. Duke sees in the Jewish conspiracy rubbish, the Jews wanting
to*

> > *incite blacks to do that shit. I see something a littlee different – I
see*

> > *them ALSO getting a very loud and clear message to whites to GET THE
FUCK*

sci.anthropology: Re: Could anyone explain this please?

- > > *OUT of black areas, LMAO. You know, there IS money in real estate :-D*
- > > *Whyever they made those movies, one Jewish guy that used to be in ADL told*
- > > *me that Jews kinda related to blacks and some held a DEEP grudge against*
- > > *Christians – who they saw as WHITE. Professor Macdonald explains all of*
- > > *this. Check him out. He's a university professor.*
- >
- > *I can understand this, the Jews have been mistreated historically*
- > *greatly by christians, but that is history, the church does not have*
- > *that kind of power anymore, and most christians love and treat all*
- > *people equally, it is the teachings of their religion. In fact the*
- > *Jewish people were the chosen people christians are supposed to treat*
- > *them well LOL, the persecutors of the Jews had to find a way to get*
- > *around this in order to justify persecuting them.*
- >
- > *Moreover, the blacks lump all non-blacks together anyway, and they're*
- > *not going to bother to distinguish between Jews and whites (they don't*
- > *look that different).*

Yeah, I know that. I guess most Jews know that too, these days.

- >
- > *If it's true that the film was made my Jews (or anyone actually) to*
- > *incite racial hatred, if even one person was murdered, stolen from,*
- > *raped, had property damaged/destroyed or was otherwise negatively*
- > *effected as a result of someone watching that film being incited to do*
- > *the aforementioned things, then the makers of the film are PERSONALLY*
- > *responsible, and it is disgusting that anyone would want to increase*
- > *the amount of death and destruction we have in the world.*

I hold them personally responsible – and so does the whole neo Nazi shebang out there that grew due to a REACTION to this "Jewish Black Alliance." That a term that Jews themselves write quite a lot about – it's not something I'm just saying here. It's CALLED the Jewish Black Alliance. I thought they were off their nuts when they did it. The two people can't be MORE unlike each other. But when blacks are incited to violence due to this kind of movie, or rap lyrics – NO ONE calls it hate crime. Now – what effect to you think that has on increased racism? Heh.

- >
- > *Also, as I stated about the Nation of Islam, the blacks resent the*
- > *Jews MORE for the same reasons they resent whites, Jews have a higher*
- > *than average income due to their work ethic, academic achievement etc.*
- > *The Nation of Islam has produced books about how the Jews are the ones*
- > *primarily responsible for slavery LOL, I don't know if that's true but*
- > *it shows how much the blacks love the Jews.*

I'm aware of all of this, been aware of it for more than a decade now :)

- >
- > *What the blacks who whine about slavery fail to realise is, almost all*
- > *the races have enslaved blacks to a degree, the Arabs for example (The*

Re: Could anyone explain this please?

sci.anthropology: Re: Could anyone explain this please?

- > *NOI, people who change their name to Arabic to get rid of their 'slave*
- > *name' should look at this, LOL), the Chinese to a very limited extent.*
- > *Also, every race has enslaved itself historically. The reason the*
- > *white race was responsible for the slavery in africa was because of*
- > *the European and American powers and their desire to create empires,*
- > *you might be correct when you say about the Chinese were smarter than*
- > *to get involved with slavery again after a point in their history.*

Chinese never had black slaves.

- >
- > *Futhermore, noone involved in slavery is alive today, It was abolished*
- > *in 1865 in the USA, so for anyone who was alive in those times to be*
- > *alive today they would have to be 140! And to be 20 or over, and*
- > *therefore old enough to be even involved in it, they'd have to be*
- > *160+! NO black person alive today has experienced slavery, NO black*
- > *person knows what it's like...*

They whine on about the EFFECTS of the "slavey history" on them. Whatever. They ALWAYS have an excuse, man. Even when blacks call each other nigger this, nigger that, some other blacks even count THAT as a residue from slave history.

- >
- > *I don't know if you've seen this P.comm, but it's some interviews with*
- > *black ex-slaves talking about their experiences, and they don't appear*
- > *to be all bad.*
- > *I don't have a source, I copied it from a post from makemyday/tom*
- > *Shelly, one of the frequent posters in the notorious anti-black*
- > *forums.*

I don't think make my day is Tom. Make my day posts as himself. He used to be Big Don.

- >
- > *Obviously some slaves would have been tortured and terribly mistreated*
- > *etc, but this may have been the exception rather than the rule. The*
- > *slaves would have been fairly obedient, there would be no reason to*
- > *waste time torturing them or mistreating them.*

Slaves were no more tortured or mistreated than the HORSES the plantation owners had. They were commodities – and people don't treat their own commodities badly – they want them to be as healthy as they want their horses to be.

- >
- >

- >
- >
- > From September-October, 1993,
- > issue
- >
- > On the subject of the treatment of American slaves, your readers may

Re: Could anyone explain this please?

sci.anthropology: Re: Could anyone explain this please?

> be
> interested to know that during the Depression someone
> had the idea of sending people to the South to interview the last
> remaining blacks who had been slaves--all then in their 80s and
> 90s. Someone named George P. Rawick has compiled these narratives into
> a
> 19-volume collection called The American Slave: A
> Composite Autobiography, which is published by Greenwood Press.
>
> Several books have been based on these interviews, and a few years ago
> I
> read one called Before Freedom: 48 Oral Histories of
> Former North and South Carolina Slaves. It was edited by Belinda
> Hurmence, and published by Mentor (Penguin) in 1990. I recall
> that of these 48 interviews only two could be called hostile to former
> masters, slavery, or whites. Some were more or less neutral,
> but certainly the largest number expressed a positive attitude toward
> former owners and to slavery. Here are some excerpts:

>
>
>
> Patsy Mitchner, age 84 when interviewed on July 2,
> 1937:
>
> Before two years had passed after the surrender, there was two out of
> every three slaves who wished they was back
> with their marsters. The marsters' kindness to the nigger after the
> war
> is the cause of the nigger having things today.
> There was a lot of love between marster and slave, and there is few of
> us that don't love the white folks today. . . .
> Slavery was better for us than things is now, in some cases. Niggers
> then didn't have no responsibility; just work, obey,
> and eat.
YES - as I said, they had it easier than immigrants had it. They had NO
responsibility - just work, eat, obey. Like horses.

>
>
>
> Betty Cofer, age 81:
>
> The rest of the family was all fine folks and good to me, but I loved
> Miss Ella better'n anyone or anything else in the
> world. She was the best friend I ever had. If I ever wanted for
> anything, I just asked her and she give it to me or got it
> for me somehow. . . . I done lived to see three generations of my
> white
> folks come and go and they're the finest folks on
> earth.

>
>
>
> Adeline Johnson, age 93:
>
> That was a happy time, with happy days. . . . I'll be satisfied to see
> my Savior that my old marster worshiped and my
> husband preach about. I wants to be in heaven with all my white folks,
> just to wait on them and love them, and serve
> them, sorta like I did in slavery time. That will be enough heaven for
> Adeline.

>
>

sci.anthropology: Re: Could anyone explain this please?

>
>
>

Mary Anderson, age 86:

> I think slavery was a mighty good thing for Mother, Father, me and the
> other members of the family, and I cannot say
> anything but good for my old marster and missus, but I can only speak
> for those whose conditions I have known during
> slavery and since. For myself and them, I will say again, slavery was
> a
> mighty good thing.

>
>
>

Simuel Riddick, age 95:

> My white folks were fine people. . . . I haven't anything to say
> against
> slavery. My old folks put my clothes on me when
> I was a boy. They gave me shoes and stockings and put them on me when
> I
> was a little boy. I loved them, and I can't
> go against them in anything. There were things I did not like about
> slavery on some plantations, whupping and selling
> parents and children from each other, but I haven't much to say. I was
> treated good.

>
>
>

Sylvia Cannon, age 85:

> Things sure better long time ago then they be now. I know it. Colored
> people never had no debt to pay in slavery time.
> Never hear tell about no colored people been put in jail before
> freedom.
> Had more to eat and more to wear then, and
> had good clothes all the time 'cause white folks furnish everything,
> everything. Had plenty peas, rice, hog meat, rabbit,
> fish, and such as that."

>
> -----
--

>
> Also, Slavery existed with the cooperation of black africans, see
> below quote, that's right, even blacks were involved with slavery, It
> would have been much more difficult for slavery to trade in such great
> numbers of slaves without this cooperation. Also the slavery whiners
> might care to look at how blacks treat eachother in africa, including
> the dictators like mugabe etc, and the way the tribes have
> historically fought and enslaved eachother.
This is all pretty well known - it's old news!

>
>

> "Even after Europeans began importing black slaves to America, most
> trade was just that: "trade". In most instances, the Europeans did not
> need to use any force to get those slaves. The slaves were "sold" more
> or less legally by their (black) owners. Scholars estimate that about
> 12,000,000 Africans were sold by Africans to Europeans and 17,000,000
> were sold to Arabs (most of them before 1776, when the USA wasn't even
> born). The legends of European mercenaries capturing free people in
> the jungle are mostly just that: legends. A few mercenaries certainly
> stormed peaceful tribes and committed horrible crimes, but that was
> not the rule. There was no need to risk their lives, so most of them
> didn't: they simply purchased people."

sci.anthropology: Re: Could anyone explain this please?

>
> <http://www.scaruffi.com/politics/slavetra.html>
>
> And, finally, if you have any sense you do not blame a RACE for the
> actions of a few of it's members! It's ridiculous. People, whatever
> their race are autonomous individuals, they act how they choose to
> act. A race is not a single one-minded entity and is not collectively
> responsible for the actions of every single one of it's members, the
> same applies to a nationality.
> Many white americans DIED to free blacks from slavery, and others
> helped them escape from the south via the underground railroad. The
> blacks are not free because they gloriously liberated themselves, they
> are free because the whites FREED them, the whites saw that slavery
> was wrong and DIED to free them.
Everyone knows that. I see them as the one exclusive race of INGRATES - and
DO NOTHING FOR THEM because NO good deed will go unpunished.
>
> The american whites are as sickened by slavery as the blacks are, in
> fact probably more so, going back to what we said about the guilt
> thing.
I think the whites that enslaved that different a race of people were
utterly INSANE and without foresight. Then, to compound stupidity with
COSMIC stupidity, they died to free them (tho the war was ot about that) and
let them STAY HERE. That was INSANE, utterly INSANE. It was a RECIPE for
everything we are seeing right now, today. If the slaves had been other
whites - and then set free -the whole situation would have been MUCH
different. There wouldn't have been dejure segregation - separate but
equal, no civil rights movement about BLACKS for purposes of integration -
when NO ONE wanted real social integration with them. NONE of that woul
dhave happened if the slaves were also whites - OR if the freed slaves were
ALL sent back to Africa. INSANITY. All of it. Ambrose Bierce wrote it
decades ago in The Devil's Dictionary - the definition of Negro: THE
American Political PROBLEM. You bet.
>
> Blacks should get over slavery, it's history.
They should get over it, or GET OUT, imo. And white liberals such as Bob
Le whatever that think that all people here, including us Turks, OWE THEM A
DEBT for prior slavery, should get the fuck out with them.
>
> >
> > Just as the Nation of Islam (Black racist
> > > religious cult) resents the Jews.
> >
> > HOO, yes indeed, all that Jewish-Black Alliance stuff (that did and does
> > exist) really backfired on liberal Jews! Nation of Islam are like
Nazis,
> > LMAO.
>
> Yes, one of the NOI leaders actually described Adolph Hitler as
> 'wickedly great', LOL. Due to his extermination of the Jews I assume.
Yeah, Jews got their good deeds (or anti white Christian deeds, depends on
how you view the whole thing) shoved down their throats by the NOI. Serves
them right for stirring up trouble and giving the blacks that kind of CLOUT.
The entire freaking NAACP used to be ALL JEWISH - not one black face in it.
They started the damned organization FOR them.