

Re: Polynesian canoes (Re: Rat genes)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology/2004-07/1394.html>

From: Yuri Kuchinsky (yuku_at_trends.ca)

Date: 07/27/04

Date: Tue, 27 Jul 2004 18:53:42 -0400

benlizross wrote:

>

> *Yuri Kuchinsky wrote:*

>>

>> *benlizross wrote*

>> *in article <41041CA5.283B@ihug.co.nz>:*

>>>

>>> *Yuri Kuchinsky wrote:*

>>>>

>>>> *benlizross <benlizro@ihug.co.nz> wrote in message news:<4100441E.11F6@ihug.co.nz>...*

>>>>> *Yuri Kuchinsky wrote:*

>>>>>

>>>>>> *I was only asking you to back up some of your claims, but*

>>>>>> *perhaps this was asking too much...*

>>>>>>

>>>>>> *Your demand for "specifics" was a demand to replay several years of*

>>>>>> *discussion on this group, since you like to pretend that no such*

>>>>>> *examination of particular points of Heyerdahl's theory has taken place.*

>>>>>>

>>>>>> *Yes, some examination did take place.*

>>>>>>

>>>>>> *The question is about the results of this examination.*

>>>>

>>> *Well, for most people the results could be summed up as: Heyerdahl was*

>>> *wrong. But I don't see any point in pursuing a discussion on that level*

>>> *of generality.*

>>>>

>>>>>

>>>>>> *Nobody else is interested in going over all that stuff again. If you*

>>>>>> *have a particular point which has not been previously discussed, or on*

>>>>>> *which you have some new evidence, feel free to bring it up.*

>>>>>>

>>>>>> *Ross Clark*

>>>>>>

>>>>>> *You keep making vague allegations about some discredited stuff in*