

Re: Polynesian canoes (Re: Rat genes)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology/2004-07/1510.html>

From: Yuri Kuchinsky (*yuku_at_trends.ca*)

Date: 07/29/04

Date: Thu, 29 Jul 2004 14:16:06 -0400

benlizross wrote:

>

> *Yuri Kuchinsky wrote:*

>>

>> *benlizross wrote:*

>>>

>>> *Yuri Kuchinsky wrote:*

>>>>

>>>> *benlizross wrote*

>>>> *in article <41041CA5.283B@ihug.co.nz>:*

>>>>>

>>>>> *Yuri Kuchinsky wrote:*

>>>>>>

>>>>>> *benlizross <benlizro@ihug.co.nz> wrote in message news:<4100441E.11F6@ihug.co.nz>...*

>>>>>>> *Yuri Kuchinsky wrote:*

>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> *I was only asking you to back up some of your claims, but*

>>>>>>>> *perhaps this was asking too much...*

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> *Your demand for "specifics" was a demand to replay several years of*

>>>>>>>> *discussion on this group, since you like to pretend that no such*

>>>>>>>> *examination of particular points of Heyerdahl's theory has taken place.*

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> *Yes, some examination did take place.*

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> *The question is about the results of this examination.*

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> *Well, for most people the results could be summed up as: Heyerdahl was*

>>>>>>>> *wrong. But I don't see any point in pursuing a discussion on that level*

>>>>>>>> *of generality.*

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> *Nobody else is interested in going over all that stuff again. If you*

>>>>>>>>> *have a particular point which has not been previously discussed, or on*

>>>>>>>>> *which you have some new evidence, feel free to bring it up.*

>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>> *Ross Clark*

>>>>>>>>>

sci.anthropology: Re: Polynesian canoes (Re: Rat genes)

>>>>> *You keep making vague allegations about some discredited stuff in
>>>>> Heyerdahl, but you are always short on specifics.*
>>>>>
>>>>> *How about a specific example of a vague allegation?*
>>>>>
>>>>> *Ross Clark*
>>>>>
>>>>> *There's an explicit allegation above, but still*
>>>>> *unsubstantiated...*
>>>
>>> *So instead of substantial arguments, we are going to play "hunt the*
>>> *allegation", are we? This is someone who repeatedly abuses others for*
>>> *"childish word games". Yuri, when _you_ quote my allegation, or better*
>>> *when _you_ have something particular you want to discuss, I'm ready.*
>>> *Otherwise, I have better things to do.*
>>>
>>> *Ross Clark*
>>
>> *I would like you to explain in what way Heyerdahl "was*
>> *wrong".*
>
> *You've forgotten? I'm sure it will all come back to you if I say: The*
> *Polynesians did not come from Peru. Nor did they come from British*
> *Columbia.*

I would like to see some specifics please.

>> *You've made the claim, so it's up to you to back it up.*
>>
>> *Is he wrong about this?*
>>
>> *Easter Island Images*
>> *<http://www.globalserve.net/~yuku>*
>>
>> *Oh dear, the icons again. Yuri, "this" appears to be one of your web*
>> *pages with several photographs and quite a bit of text by Yu. Kuchinsky.*
>> *How can such an assemblage be "right" or "wrong"? Would you please make*
>> *the effort to focus on one particular proposition? Then maybe I can give*
>> *you an answer.*
>>
>> *Ross Clark*

This is called archaeology. If you don't like archaeology,
what are you doing in sci.arch?

Yuri.

Yuri Kuchinsky --O-- <http://www.globalserve.net/~yuku>

"Contrariwise," continued Tweedledee, "if it was so,
it might be, and if it were so, it would be; but as it

sci.anthropology: Re: Polynesian canoes (Re: Rat genes

isn't, it ain't. That's logic!"

— Lewis Carroll, "Through the Looking Glass"