

Re: REPLY TO BYRON

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology/2004-07/1587.html>

makemyday_at_worldnet.att.net

Date: 07/30/04

Date: Fri, 30 Jul 2004 22:03:33 GMT

Bob LeChevalier wrote:

>

> *makemyday@worldnet.att.net* wrote:

> > *Bob LeChevalier* wrote:

> > > *david640@webtv.net* wrote:

> > > > *Like I've Stated Before; it isn't racist if it's true.*

> > >

> > > *Your statement isn't true. It is racist whether or not it is true.*

> > > *Non-raccsts don't even ask the question, since there is no more reason*

> > > *to look at data based on skin color than to look at data based on what*

> > > *day of the year you were born on, or height, or first name.*

> >

> > *There is just as much reason to look at race as there is for insurance*

> > *companies to look at the ages of auto liability or life insurance*

> > *applicants. Group stats tell a revealing and meaningful story.*

>

> *So tell us the group stats based on birthday, first name, and height.*

> *Don't have them? Then why did you pick race as the grouping you wish*

> *to look at stats for?*

Because Crime, LowIQ, and OOW_Breeding correlate heavily with race, not with birthday, first name, and height.

Although the probability of anyone with first name Tyrone or Delmar having lowIQ, criminal record, and OOW_Kidz, is quite high...

> *Answer: because you are a subhuman racist*

**Wrong* answer...*