

## Re: Related languages (Re: A China–Sumer connection)

**Source:** <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.anthropology/2005–03/1300.html>

---

**From:** Comm (*tjsrno\_at\_spampost.com*)

**Date:** 03/18/05

Date: Fri, 18 Mar 2005 10:35:01 GMT

Hey there, see in. It's getting hard to find the section of miles long thread that we are chatting on, heh.

<phippmartin@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
news:1111127875.078231.178130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...  
> [*sci.archaeology removed (the name in the header, not the entire  
> group). Feel free to make cultural references on topic in  
> sci.anthropology.]*  
>  
> Neeraj Mathur wrote:  
>> "Comm" <tjsrno@spampost.com> wrote in message  
>> news:FG9\_d.10842\$oO4.3945@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...  
>>>  
>>> "Neeraj Mathur" <neemathur@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>> news:d1af19\$7ig\$1@news.ox.ac.uk...  
>>>>  
>>>> "Comm" <tjsrno@spampost.com> wrote in message  
>>>> news:9lLZd.9617\$oO4.4756@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...  
>>>>>  
>>>>> "Neeraj Mathur" <neemathur@hotmail.com> wrote in message  
>>>>> news:d164co\$i9I\$1@news.ox.ac.uk...  
>>>>> I'm a bit confused here, because the definition of 'creole' that I  
> gave,  
>>>> which you agree with, was based entirely on grammar and structure  
> (note  
>>>> phrases like 'simplified languages', 'grammatical complexity').  
>>>>> Vocabulary has nothing to do with that definition of creole.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Hmm, not to my ear. I hear what happens when Mexicans, Anglos,  
> Haitians  
>>>>> and Jamaicans have to work together. Not too long before they  
> speak a  
>>>>> language in everyday use that heh, no one ELSE understands. Then  
> the  
>>>>> words I used before "con