

Re: Norse, Vatican and Vinland

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2004-06/1000.html>

From: R.Schenck (*nygdan_morteauxspam_at_yahoo.com*)

Date: 06/11/04

Date: 11 Jun 2004 13:51:45 -0700

Yuri Kuchinsky <yuku@trends.ca> wrote in message news:<40C8AC88.1C3C0E6E@trends.ca>...

> *George Hein* wrote:

>

> [Alan:]

>> Presumably it's the same work to which you just referred us in a microform

>>> version. If so, it has been ignored by serious scholars for a century. Don't

>>> you think there might be a reason?

>>>

>> The reason may be truly scientific (but then such should be at least

>> (foot)noted. However, it might be LAZINESS, STUPIDITY, POLITICAL

>> CORRECTNESS, PERSONAL ADJENDA usw.

>>

>> The same human weakness applies to theologians, philosophers, historians

>> and indeed scientists as well (and especially, today, TV media "news

>> persons").

>> Anyone who studies history would know this.

>

> Yes, George, that's for sure.

>

> As always, there's a whole chorus of those seeking to

> dismiss uncomfortable evidence simply because it doesn't

> agree with their preconceptions...

what about more Norse influence in pre-Columbian America is anyone

supposed to be uncomfortable about?

Certainly no one disagrees that the Norse did in fact make their way into Vinland?

>

> A reason can always be found to dismiss uncomfortable

> evidence, that's for sure. Here, imagination and creativity

> will reign supreme! :)

>

> If it's not written up in the Standard Reference Books then

> it doesn't exist. That's the True Science at work!

I think that the problem anyone here has with most of what Inger says is that she doesn't provide much in the way of references.

sci.archaeology: Re: Norse, Vatican and Vinland

Undoubtedly there can be misunderstandings in these sorts of issues, but the 'oed' fiasco (where the entire multivolume gi-normous OED was obviously confused for some single volume compilation and yet she refused to give any sort of verifiable information) should lead anyone to be wary when inger talks about sources. I myself have been offered a number of sources from inger, but i haven't (and i am not saying its been enough time for me to justifiably say that that won't) shown up yet. And often when inger does present sources they are in some language that most people in this english language group don't speak.

So forget about 'standard' references. Inger simply doesn't provide references. Think about it, has anyone here been presented with good references and sensible evidence and still insisted than inger was beign unreasonable?