

Re: Changes in Encyclopedia text –KRS

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2004–07/0391.html>

From: Martin Reboul (*martin_at_SPAMFUKreboul1471.freemove.co.uk*)

Date: 07/05/04

Date: Mon, 5 Jul 2004 15:45:22 +0100

"George" <gblack@hnpl.net> wrote in message
news:9b937279.0407041225.4b8687d4@posting.google.com...
> *"Inger E Johansson"* <inger_e.johansson@notelia.com> wrote in message
news:<[fOOFc.4209\\$dx3.33308@newsb.telia.net](mailto:fOOFc.4209$dx3.33308@newsb.telia.net)>...
> > 25th June 2004:
> > http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kensington_runestone
> >
>
> *Ah. Is Inger aware that this link is rather 'in the other camp' as*
> *regards the authentic origins of this rock ???*

Quite so George!

I was hesitant to mention that this entry is a subsection of 'Archaeological Forgeries', flanked by Piltdown Man and other magnificent pieces of mischief, for fear of being dubbed a 'naysayer' or worse.

Surely nobody would LIE in an Encyclopedia though – or would they?

More soon on this tacky, careworn, discredited Masonic jape – if it is really necessary. Surely even Yuri Suchkinky has been 'enlightened' by now?

Cheers

Martin