

Re: Norse, Vatican and Vinland

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2004-07/1091.html>

From: Per Rønne (*spam_at_husumtoften.invalid*)

Date: 07/13/04

Date: Tue, 13 Jul 2004 09:54:03 +0200

Inger E Johansson <inger_e.johansson@notelia.com> wrote:

> *no it isn't. You don't know your Scandinavian History do you? a large part*
> *of what today belongs to Sweden belonged to Norway before mid 17th century.*
> *Some of those parts spoke Old-Swedish rather than Old-Norse in 1300's due to*
> *the many intermarriages between people also Noble and Royal Family as well*
> *as fishermen Families. My own ancestors lived on both sides of the border*
> *and had had intermarriages over today's Götaälv(Ptolomy's Vigotha Elf) as*
> *far back as we know. Part of them spoke Old-Swedish, other Old-Norse, yet*
> *other Old Scandinavian closer to Icelandic and then there were those from*
> *Halland of course.....*

You seem to reiterate views of the Swedish conquerors of Herjedalen, Hjemtland and Bohuslen in Norway and Denmark east of the Sound. But Old Norse is not Norwegian but the old common language of Scandinavia [now only spoken on Iceland and the Faroese Islands], and the split between East Nordic [in reality: Old Norse] and West Nordic [Danish, Swedish and to-day's {Dano-}Norwegian] took place at the Norwegian mountains. If you claim that the Norwegians in Herjedalen and Hjemtland spoke Swedish before the Swedish conquest, you should also claim that the people in the Oslo area spoke Swedish. And, btw, the Scanians and Zealanders in Denmark.

--

Per Erik Rønne