

Re: Question

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2004-07/1234.html>

From: David B. (davidb_at_tronospamchos.freemove.co.uk)

Date: 07/14/04

Date: Wed, 14 Jul 2004 23:11:25 +0100

George wrote in message

<9b937279.0407141239.156f0f8c@posting.google.com>...

>

> "David B" <tronospamchos@tesco.net> wrote in message
news:<Re6Jc.20\$847.7@newsfe1-win.ntli.net>...

>>

>> <http://www.trochos.plus.com/primesaue/late.html#84>

>>

> Sorry David but five minutes after I sent the message I came across a

> 'clear' graphic of the 'world map' series.

Ooh. If its better than the one Primesauce links to, I'd love to know.

> If its all so accurate (according to Inger) what's the fat little lump

> of land below Iceland/Greenland :-)) ????????

Grandad-of-Surtsey? A dozing whale?

> And the outline of Southern Africa :-)

shome mishtake here, shurely

> and the lack of space for

> Australasia and the Antarctic...

Yes, the trend for cutting off the southern part of the world to fit
Mercator-projection maps on standard sizes of paper started very early :-(

> But seeing that it was compiled less than 100 years after the

> Columbus landing on the Northern American land mass

Oi, wotchit! (Hisses and boos from legions of Cabot fans)

> Mercator did a good job.

Yes, and if bloody Zeno hadn't faked so much in the 1550s, it would have
been even better.

David B.