

New "I" Category List, Name Confusion

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2004-07/1504.html>

From: Philip Deitiker (*Donevenask_at_worlnet.att.net*)

Date: 07/18/04

Date: Sun, 18 Jul 2004 15:22:01 GMT

"zayton" <zayton@bellsouth.net> says in
news:g1eKc.2158\$kb1.1323@bignews6.bellsouth.net:

- > *Inger, I saw more than one post in which you stated that*
- > *Nicholas and Gerardus were members of the same family and*
- > *did not see the one in which you corrected that, so it is*
- > *quite possible for the same to have happened with Doug.*

Inger is a woman of a million ploys.

I beg to differ with you guys on the best strategy for dealing with her and seppo. In the case of excuses for example, it is clear that excusing the providance of references is a repetitive propaganda ploy and as yet we have close to 50 instances provided since January.

{Inger} " Last week I had a chance to look close at a copy of one of Mercator's maps from 1560's. What I would like to know is if someone have an answer to the question why a 'Denmark farm' is noted in one of the fjords of Greenlands?"

[Tom] "Inger, do you have a specific map and location in mind that I can look at?"

49. [Inger]" Do you have a chance to fly to Gothenburg and enter DFDS cruiser? It's in one of them as so many other good copys of older maps are onboard Scandinavian ships and or in harbors here."

[Yes, Indeed, I will be in Sweden tomorrow to view that map as well as and some green indians with purple polkadots]

Note the key element in the propaganda motif, Inger provides reference to a map not on public display, not available to most of the people on the UseNet, certainly, and on the DFDS cruiser which might have extremely restricted access. There she has provided reference to a map which it is hard for anyone to

crosscheck her, the ploy. Past excuses, the map is in a museum and thus copyrighted (over 1000 year old document), The map is too large to send (alleviated by sci.aux) and there is no place to send it to (alleviated by sci.aux). Now that she cannot use these excuses she has resorted to relying on maps that are on Swedish DoD ships. Should someone gain access to this map she might proclaim she say the map in a dream, or on mt.Everest or that it was placed in a time capsule and buried under the elementary school, or that it was destroyed by a fire, etc. The technique is subtle, but unless one has seen it in repetition that mechanics of her deceptive propaganda is not evident. Can you think of 20 or thirty ways by which you observed something that is 1000 years old, yesterday, but that as of today it is not observable by anyone.

1. it was on my computer and my harddrive crashed.
2. it was in the library but the library is close for a month.
3. it was something my ancestor showed me, and we buried it with him yesterday.
4. its classified.

The new repetitive category. Name Confusion.

In addition, Name Confusion is another ploy which she uses, therefore it should be considered a repetitive modality of propaganda in this regard. She has confused the name of greenland with provincial greunland, she has confused other names. If anyone has a list of proper names (people places or things) we can get another list going (Daryl is usually good at this). The only way to get her to stop, or to get people to respond to her directly is to a list (as well as intertaine ourselves)

Name Confusion #1, Greenland and Greunland

Name Confusion #2, Mercator (Nicholas and Gerardus)

Name Confusion #3, Haakon IV with Haakon VI (this is based on a document by OM in which Haakon is mention but geneology was not given)

putative Name Confusion #4, Olav Tryggvason and Olof Skotkonung

Notice that Inger's diversionary thought process can thrive in these name confusions because she can merge the feats of duplicitious personalities into one person, or move a person about in history such their activities match a certain claim of hers (for example, the movement of Haakon IV, the last great westward powerful king of Norway to the mid to late 14th century, when in fact he was killed at the battle of Orkney in the late 13th century immediately preceding the decline of the greenland colony, which Inger contests). Any one of the name confusions might be justified some scholar or historian may have erred. But the pattern of repetition of this ploy makes it obvious that these are not confusions within the history, but

plays by a person trying to contort history for her purposes. One has to think about it like this the web of history is made through connections, peoples, ancestry, titles, events, artefacts (including documents). If one can ignore a facet of the connection that makes this web one can attempt to build a new web and connect freestanding artefacts, ambiguous documents, etc into a new history that, depending on how unscrupulous one is about the history, can be used to support any new theory.

Let us say, for example, 1000 years from now someone decided to ignore the Jr in JFK jrs name, and then became proclaiming that the senior didn't die in Dallas, but was resuscitated in Washington and lived another ~40 years and died flying a plane to Martha's Vineyard. This would seem hideous to US because we observed the minutia of the individual's life, however in 1000 years maybe only major details remain scattered over a number of historical sites, possibly altered by politicians, etc. OR for instance we could ignore the first name of Clinton, and proclaim that after Bill Clinton served 8 years in the White House Clinton went on to serve 6 years in the Senate.

Notice, also, that Doug has been slamhammered by our local cesspool of thought, however as time has proven itself over again, Inger once clarified will probably make the exact same name confusion in the future, if she suspects a new audience is out there. Nor is it important that this specific error be resolved, because as many things out there than can potentially confuse Inger will in fact confuse them as needed to further her propaganda, and by chance if you 'get on her case about them' she will claim she is dislexic and that you are abusing her. The fact of the matter is that if she is soooooo dislexic she cannot keep names and places correct, she probably should not be attempting to do history. Secondarily if it is not dislexia, then it represents a most unscholarly form of activity.

The documentation of the propaganda ploys is interesting and some savvy anthropology student might want to actually do a thesis of the ploys of these 'Science' Charletons. At least they might be able to get a C-essay out on these matters.

BTW, My point to Tom, Doug and others, with regard to these responses of ad-hominim nature is that one should attempt to provide some useful content that educates members of these groups to abstract patterns of abuse, that others might see the patterns and immediately quarantine the ploy such that it does not progress into a firefight over 'nothing' and that it does remind the abuser up front that the ploy will not 'go anywhere' because it has been identified upfront. This does not mean however the replies cannot also be entertaining, also.

--

sci.archaeology: New "I" Category List, Name Confusion

Philip

Mol. Anth. Group <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/DNAanthro/>
Mol. Evol. Hominids <http://home.att.net/~DNAPaleoAnth/>
Evol. of Xchrom.
<http://home.att.net/~DNAPaleoAnth/xlinked.htm>
Pal. Anth. Group <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Paleoanthro/>
Sci. Arch. Aux
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/sciarchauxilliary/>