

Re: The Cost of Relativity

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2004-07/2580.html>

From: Tom Potter (*tdp_at_earthlink.net*)

Date: 07/29/04

Date: Thu, 29 Jul 2004 21:40:17 +0800

"Sam Wormley" <swormley1@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:4106A2BF.D4234542@mchsi.com...

> *Tom Potter wrote:*

>>

>> *"Bilge" <dubious@radioactivex.lebesque-al.net> wrote in message*

>> *news:slrncgaic9.2b2.dubious@radioactivex.lebesque-al.net...*

>>> *Tom Potter:*

>>>

>>>> *I wonder of Farnsworth ever used Relativity*

>>>> *in his numerous tube designs?*

>>>>

>>>> *My guess is no. Had he used relativity, the quality of the picture
would*

>>>> *have as bad as modern televisions. Haven't you noticed that technology*

>>>> *peaked in the 1920's and 1930's and since that time televisions have*

>>>> *gotten worse, the space program went down hill, receivers can no
longer*

>>>> *receive satellite signals, mri scanners lie in a state of disrepair,*

>>>> *computers have slowed down to a crawl, oscilloscopes with the
precision*

>>>> *and utility of the tek 511 have been replaced by slipshod knockoffs
like*

>>>> *the TDS3000B. It's just awful. Oh, what I wouldn't give for a real*

>>>> *television set with a steel case full of vacuum tubes, mechanical
contact*

>>>> *tuners, 20 lb high voltage transformers and a floating chassis all
sitting*

>>>> *behind a piece of glass spanning a good chunk of the forward 2 pi*

>>>> *solid angle.*

>>>>

>>>> *Come to think of, I'll bet your pappy never once mentioned a
satellite*

>>>> *failure all the through the 1950's. After that, the decline in
computing*

>>>> *power coupled with the declining quality of electronics test equipment*

>>>> *made every satellite a crap shoot. Yes, I can see why you pine for*

>>>> *the good old days a revel in the past. Yes, it's remarkable just how*

sci.archaeology: Re: The Cost of Relativity

> > > *much technology has disintegrated.*
> >
> > *"Bilge" what you state above looks like a bunch of bullshit to me.*
> >
> > *What is your position, and*
> > *can you provide any honest, concurrent information*
> > *that validates your position?*
> >
> > *There is no doubt that as technology improves,*
> > *that products also improve.*
> >
> > *You seem to be claiming credit for physical models,*
> > *that should go to the folks who made all the little*
> > *technological improvements all along the way.*
> >
> > *I was party to many of the key technological improvements*
> > *in oscilloscopes, electronics, solid state devices,*
> > *and computer fields during the 50's, 60's and 70's.*
> >
> > *Vas you der charley?*
> > *If so, provide some facts,*
> > *rather than bullshit.*
> >
> > --
>
> **plonk**

I am pleased to see that I managed to
get rid of some of the bullshit.

I am confident that sci.physics
will smell a little better.

Now if we can get more of the
sci.physics Taliban to **plonk**
the folks they habitually attack,
it will reduce the noise level in sci.physics enormously.

--
Tom Potter <http://home.earthlink.net/~tdp>