

Re: Kirsten Seaver's Vinland Map book– first thoughts

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2004–07/2634.html>

From: David B. (davidb_at_tronosspamchos.freemove.co.uk)

Date: 07/29/04

Date: Thu, 29 Jul 2004 23:48:26 +0100

Erik Hammerstad wrote in message <2msmdoFr2lgcU1@uni–berlin.de>...

>

>Olin and Seaver have recently presented their views on the map

>at a conference in Copenhagen. In Danish at

><http://www.kb.dk/kb/dept/nbo/kob/nyhedsbrev/#03>

>it is stated that Olin did not claim that her results proved the

>map to authentic.

Thanks for that reference Erik. Basically, Olin has not shifted her position an inch– the parchment is from the 1400s, the ink could be medieval, but of course as a good scientist she can't say that proves the map to be old. It seems she still won't address the many indications that the ink cannot have been made in the way she has been suggesting since the 1970s. Still, as the last word on the excellent

<http://webexhibits.org/vinland/> site is currently given to her opponents, with luck she will gradually be marginalised (not that I'm any happier with Seaver's similarly dogmatic theory about Fr. Fischer...)

>The conference program is found here <http://www.dpc.dk/DYNAMICS/>

>And Inger/Eric Stevens/Seppo should take note of the following

>program entry: PUBLIC LECTURE BY DR. BIRGITTA WALLACE

:-) (but isn't that a different conference?)

David B.