

Re: Who has read Seaver's new book on the Vinland Map?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2004-08/1146.html>

From: Philip Deitiker (*Donevenask_at_worlnet.att.net*)

Date: 08/09/04

Date: Mon, 09 Aug 2004 19:41:58 GMT

Martyn Harrison <nospam@spammers.of.the.world.unite> says in news:hqcfh0hhsge39qfh4ou410fdd657743u2r@4ax.com:

> *Apparently on date Mon, 09 Aug 2004 05:56:45 GMT, "Inger E Johansson" <inger_e.johansson@notelia.com> said:*
>
>> *Tom if you or Philip continue your abusive ways here, I will ask US Officials to make it clear for you that You have violated International as well as US laws. As for Philip I know he already has been told so. He will be told so once again.*
>
> *Inger threatens to NetKop Tom, and is going to NetKop Philip.*
>
> *For those who do not know what this disgusting and repellent practice is, it is where you lose the actual argument with the people you are supposedly debating with, and instead seek to remove their objections by removing their ability to post again.*

Well I don't think any US officials care and Inger has repeatedly threatened and harassed people at my institute even when I was not posting there. As they have made clear to me, they can't stop me from posting, albeit they prefer I used my home account and I do. And she can take all her complaints to AT&T she likes. AT&T however has never yet said anything to me so I suppose all her hooting and howling will fall on deaf ears. My ISP has many of the articles and documents she has posted as material they asked me to collect, I suppose if she desires to harass BCM more they may do a little harassing of their own on her ISP (that it would do any good, is a different matter). Their biggest complaint is that she is wasting their time with these insane accusations. ISPs are not responsible for post that do not originate from them. In addition their activities let to pollution of BCMs email system.

sci.archaeology: Re: Who has read Seaver's new book on the Vinland Map?

And BTW, I make no stipulation that Tom is correct, but if he is, he certainly deserves some credit for pointing out this rather fabulous excuse, IMHO, it takes the cake. Birth Certificates and Transcripts should not be a problem in country as advanced and small as Sweden. In terms of me searching down her post, I don't directly read Inger's post, its the principle of the matter. Y'all can quote the relevant passages.

- > *The strategy is to invent offence at something the other*
- > *posters have said. If their ISP is not clued up and*
- > *believes they have some reason to act on complaints*
- > *(whereas, they make money from their customers and not from*
- > *the NetKop) then they may send email to warn their customer*
- > *or cut their feed.*

Well, of course, most of them probably have a huge dossier on Inger so they got a special circular file for her complaints. I guess that story of the boy who cried wolf was not Swedish in origin. Inger of course is excessively afraid of public criticism, this you can see in the means of what she gets all up about. She has to realize that her worst enemy is herself, google does not just search by thread titles, it searches by content and the material is kept forever. As George pointed out in February, she may lie, but google does not, and what was her response to google, 'I was forged', JerryT forged me. One misleading statement to back up another.

- > *The Net Kop wins the argument, because their ISP ignores*
- > *complaints about them (usually from extensive prior*
- > *experience) so the opposing poster stops being able to post*
- > *anything, while the NetKop can then crow about how their*
- > *ISP "agreed with them". And how "they must have been*
- > *abusing me" as otherwise, why would their ISP have acted?*
- > *Ignoring the fact that there was a complaint that usually*
- > *wasn't investigated properly.*

Complaint was investigated, no action was taken, except a lot of hee-hawing? Who has she actually stopped. By engaging in that behavior she turned people who were 'polite' to her into people who think she is disgusting. Her modality of communication (threatening, hollow threats) only worsens her public appeal and disrespect. The point is that we at BCM are overwhelmed with junk email and all kinds of things we cannot control because of policy, no-one wants to be the instigator of rounds of junk mail. Seppo posted the account of the president and several people at BCM and as a result the spammers farmed their information and they got pummelled by more junk-mail. They did not appreciate this at all. BCM has a policy of not changing email accounts, I have had mine since 1987 and as a result deliberate attempts at doing what Inger and Seppo did have serendipitously hurt people at BCM. My president did not have a

presence on the net as he was new, this probably tripled his junk mail. Brilliant strategy, of course, if you want a complaint sent to your ISP from another ISP. She can call out whatever she wants, but whenever she uses a criminal-spirit propoganda technigue, I feel its my duty as a scientist here to point it out to the group for their education into these matters.

- > *This is a particularly effective strategy when conducted by*
- > *an ignoramus against a professor. The ignoramus is*
- > *worthless and is ignored by AOL or whoever, in Inger case*
- > *Telia will not care about her at all.*

That's right. That's why I never complained about her; however my ISP, if she continues to harass them for post that do not come from them. They may turn around and get some attention from her ISP. ISPs have substantially more leverage with other ISPs relative to single users. I do make one recommendation, posting from work or institution to Inger is not wise, they are not 'professional' ISPs and they do not have the resources or time to deal with the kook-harassments like Inger propogates. Keep it on the private so that the professionals can deal with her properly. The basic point here is you can pull a ploy a few times eventually it will backfire. They know exactly which post that come from them and which ones don't if it doesn't come from them, the complaint is dumped. However if you are harassing a college official, that might get a different response.

I have only one gripe that will get me to email an ISP, for a group like this where the appeal is a science discussion, profanities are excessively outside of the context of the group. Only one word I have heard used by professionals is 'BS' which refers to an ideal or conclusion that is based on the weakest of facts. There is no good science word that covers that.

- > *Whereas, the professor's university will take it all*
- > *seriously. Hence, ignorance wins when NetKopping is being*
- > *used.*

Well you folks shouldn't be directly addressing Inger, anyway, Its a waste of time, even for quasi-sci groups like this, the best way to get rid of her is ignore her. This 'professor'[Not] is telling you that engaging in heated discussions with these types of propogandizers is like arguing with a stoned bum on a street corner, with the difference you might beleive what the bum has to say, whereas you should never believe what a public propogandizer should say. As Hitler once said '_____'
[Pick a phrase that starts any world war]. I just have this thing against propoganda methodologies and I think people who float in and out the group should know about propoganda patterns for their own general enlightenment. I believe that dealing with

sci.archaeology: Re: Who has read Seaver's new book on the Vinland Map?

the blatant and including the not so blatant techniques is good for both the inspection of the individual and the overall good of science as many of liked–inger individuals use the same diversionary techniques and tactics when critiqued. It is not the minutia of the individual usage but that pattern of abuse which is important for the critique.

- > *Inger, the ignorant witch, knows this threat has some fear*
- > *for some. Judge her harshly, it isn't a mistake, she is*
- > *NetKopping to avoid honest sharing of information to*
- > *bolster her pathetic and discredited usenet existence.*

Well the 'criminal–spirit' is at play here is, of course, to protect her modality of propaganda. If the modality of propaganda becomes clear to all, people won't even waste time reading her crap anymore. The problem of course is that some people need alot of evidence before they are convinced that there is a 'criminal–spirit' at play and not just an 'ignorant–spirit' that they think they can educate. There is a difference, one desires to interact and potentially can learn, while the goal of the other is to obfuscate, deceive and otherwise twist the truth in order to serve some alterior purpose, like writing bogus magazine articles or books, potentially at some time becoming notorious enough to draw a profit. Her modality however here is poisoning, because I am sure whatever university she has been trying to get 'letters' from are aware of these side ventures. The ignorance is the understanding of truth, truth is not a discrete thing but a thing neccesarly of interpretation and the tools of use are science and not here–say. If you don't beleive this, if you believe only that your favored account represents the truth, then one needs to create a web of deception to defend that account. Truth lies at the intersection of perspective, the more valid perspectives on has, the closer one is to the truth. Perspective can define distance from the truth, which is hardly ever directly achieved in full confidence, but approximated in good faith. Therefore the proportion of truth requires the good faith intentions and seriousness of the individual divulging that truth, and also good faith on defining its limitations.

BTW, you folks should also know that alot of these diversionary propoganda posts are in response to queries about her work or evidence. I don't see anyone question DJM about his astrology sooth saying. The issue here is to recognize that any query you make about the validity or authenticity of her work will be responded to with propaganda. While Inger's propaganda modalities are entertaining, this is a sci group and they are equally destructive. Point out the propaganda and move on.

We see that for example the discussion of food archeology reached some level of seriousness in the discussion of population evolution. This is the type of discussion the group could engage in if it kept away from Inger's blatant trolling of

sci.archaeology: Re: Who has read Seaver's new book on the Vinland Map?

repeatedly disproved and discredited topics. If you know for instance that Inger is dishonest about reading a book she dislikes, and the response is going to try to PUFF up her credentials to try to make it look as if she as serious as another person on that topic, then why bother to bring it to her anyway (other than getting me excuse 50 and 51). You know she is grandstanding, you know she is going to grandstand when someone elses credentials are better than hers, and you know she will try any diversionary technique to try to elevate herself and belittle professionals. Previous matters concerning credentialed critiques of her favorites have resulted in insults of people, accusations of lying, grandstanding, belittling the experience of professionals. This is her long term strategy. Dice the propaganda out of it and ignore the rest, judge the tree by the fruit it bears. In this particular case we see how the nested lies are born out of her critique.

> *It's*
> *the same with Seppo and Eric, they use the same tactics and*
> *publish this in their posts.*

Eric, I think your being a little harsh of. Who really cares what Seppo does, any administrator will read 2 of his posts and flush the complaint. Thats what my ISP did. Eric does make an effort to behave on the up and up, and does make an effort to get at least some of his information correct.

> *Yuri / Whittet are apparently harmless kooks. On account*
> *that they don't NetKop. There's a moral in there somewhere.*

Yuri's tactics are still reliant on propagandism over substance, which from a pure science perspective is fraudulent in spirit.

--

Philip

Mol. Anth. Group <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/DNAanthro/>
Mol. Evol. Hominids <http://home.att.net/~DNAPaleoAnth/>
Evol. of Xchrom.
<http://home.att.net/~DNAPaleoAnth/xlinked.htm>
Pal. Anth. Group <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Paleoanthro/>
Sci. Arch. Aux
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/sciarchauxilliary/>