

Re: KRS – Possible news to come

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2004–09/0683.html>

From: Steve Marcus (*smarcus_spamout__at_cox.net*)

Date: 09/05/04

Date: Sat, 4 Sep 2004 20:15:31 -0400

"Inger E Johansson" <inger_e.johansson@notelia.com> wrote in message
news:eUr_c.102566\$dP1.364869@newsc.telia.net...

>

> *"Eric Stevens" <eric.stevens@sum.co.nz> skrev i meddelandet*

> *news:mfhkj0lo3glddor5mqdghq8gfgl5t0s9uj@4ax.com...*

>> *On Sat, 04 Sep 2004 19:11:15 GMT, "Martin Reboul"*

>> *<martin.reboul@SPAMFUKvirgin.net> wrote:*

>>

>>>

>>> *"Inger E Johansson" <inger_e.johansson@notelia.com> wrote in message*

>>> *news:bW_Xc.1761\$d5.13136@newsb.telia.net...*

>>>> *Eric,*

>>>> *no you haven't missed anything David B has missed a lot.*

>>>> *He obviously missed that the Larsson papers value has been reduced to*

> *zero –*

>>>> *and never will be able to rise again. First of all their value was*

> *obscure*

>>>> *to start with – even those who put them forward said that they must*

> *have*

>>>> *been copied from something – WELL what they didn't do was checking
said*

> *Mr*

>>>> *Larsson's background. You see the rune lines of 'his' existed in his*

>>>> *homeyard exactly when the so called specialist Linguist say they*

> *didn't.*

>>>> *Actually they were written down in late 15th century and edited mid*

> *16th.*

>>>> *That edited work only exists in one single copy here in Sweden – but*

> *the*

>>>> *interesting thing is that they existed in guilds in Sweden in the*

time

>>>> *between – same false written type as Larsson had. Observe that*

neither

> *the*

>>>> *rune lines of Larsson nor the 16th century editon had same text as*

> *exists on*

>>>> *KRS stone.*

> > >>
> > >> *Larsson's paper has no value what so ever to do with KRS stone. AND*
> *what's*
> > >> *worse for David B and Martin is that they missed to do their*
homework –
> *the*
> > >> *person they are trying to nail never made it to Kensington area*
before
> *the*
> > >> *stone was found. No matter what story he might have tried to tell he*
is
> > >> *documented to be in a jail the time they dream of.*
> > >>
> > >> *Both David B and Martin also missed that we know exactly what*
happened
> *to*
> > >> *the stone after it was found. But they aren't trusted with that*
information
> > >> *as it seems.*
> > >
> > > *Ahem, I think not Inger!*
> > >
> > > *You see, only a year ago, you yourself were volubly and repeatedly*
insisting
> > > *thet these runes *could not have been known to anyone*.... do you now*
wish to
> > > *retract that statement?*
> > >
> > > *I knew very well it wasn't true, never mind common sense telling*
everyone
> *such a*
> > > *blanket assertion was ridiculous. I'm glad you admit that he 'copied*
them', as
> > > *it would have been quite a coincidence if he just made them up for fun.*
> > >
> > > *As far as I am concerned, the argument is not that the runes were not*
known to anyone in the 19th century and that it therefore could not
have been carved at that date.
> > >
> > > *The argument was that the claim of virtually all of the experts of the*
day that the KRS had to be a forgery because of the presence of at
least 12 erroneous and anomalous runes which the experts of the day
described as errors of an attempted forgery by an amatuer.
> > >
> > > *I always considered the views of all of the experts to be the*
consequence of mere sloppy scholarship but it maybe now there is a
more sinister explanation. If one or two of the first experts to
review the KRS (and maybe some of the later experts) recognised the
runes as secret masonic runes, they may have (a) jumped to the
conclusion that it was a recent masonic forgery and (b) done their
best to suppress it by a process of denigration.

> >
> > *Wouldn't it be strange if the KRS eventually turns out to be genuine*
> > *and in the process wide-spread attention is drawn to secret masonic*
> > *runes which previously very few people outside masonry knew anything*
> > *much about?*
> > >
> > > *You surely must by now know what they were to do with, and why he was*
> *copying*
> > > *them along with the Masonic code? On the same sheet of paper indeed...*
> > >
> > > *You must also realise that means this knowledge was widely spread, by*
> *an*
> *old and*
> > > *well established mechanism, that certainly (NB *certainly*!) extended*
> *to*
> > > *Minnesota. Mr Larssen was very naughty for leaving them lying around as*
> *he did,*
> > > *but I'm very glad he did, as far from 'having no value' as you so*
> *arrogantly*
> > > *(and stupidly!) proclaim, he unwittingly provided the last nail for*
> *sealing the*
> > > *coffin of the KRS – and made you look a right idiot as a delightful*
> *bonus!*
> > >
> > > *Now be a big girl, take it on the chin, find some grace and admit it –*
> *you were*
> > > **WRONG!**
> >
> > *Not yet. Wait until the information about the age is available.*
>
> *Part of it is.*

Part? Why part? Is this material a state secret? The more often that we are told that only part of the evidence will be disclosed, and that some people know all the evidence (like you, Inger) while others will have to wait, the more firm that our conviction that this so-called information is pure crap.

>
> *Inger E*
> >
> >
> >
> > *Eric Stevens*

Steve Marcus

--
The above posting is neither a legal opinion nor legal advice, because we do not have an attorney-client relationship, and should not be construed as either. This posting does not represent the opinion of my employer, but is merely my personal

sci.archaeology: Re: KRS – Possible news to come

view. To reply, delete _spamout_ and replace with the numeral 3