

sci.archaeology: Re: Old story walking again.

Re: Old story walking again.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2004-09/2101.html>

From: Eric Stevens (eric.stevens_at_sum.co.nz)

Date: 09/17/04

Date: Fri, 17 Sep 2004 21:54:48 +1200

On Thu, 16 Sep 2004 23:30:21 -0600, "Tedd Jacobs"
<Jacobs@mail.boisestate.edu> wrote:

>
> *"Eric Stevens" <eric.stevens@sum.co.nz> wrote in message*
> *news:plpkk0dt21o15cmlrgpt5pthpdtbkhdqa@4ax.com...*
>> *On Thu, 16 Sep 2004 20:59:17 -0600, "Tedd Jacobs"*
>> *<Jacobs@mail.boisestate.edu> wrote:*
>>
>>>
>>> *"Eric Stevens" wrote...*
>>>
>>>> *I suppose there is some*
>>>> *significance to them thinking there was something worth burying.*
>>>
>>> *maybe not. maybe they just wanted to fill the hole.*
>>>
>> *In the middle of the harbor?*
>>
>> *Rather than try and find an acceptable reason for them dumping fill, I*
>> *would like to confirm whether or not they actually did that.*
>
> *i agree. we should find out first if they did before begin to suppose there*
> *would be some greater significance associated.*

>
That's two like-minded people who would rather get some facts before
jumping to conclusions.

Who is buying the next drink?

I'm going out for a P while you decide that. :-)

But seriously, there are matters of fact stated in the original
article which should not be too hard to follow up.

Eric Stevens

Re: Old story walking again.