



> > *source, you could simply cite it, and make all the "naysayers" look silly.*

> > *The fact that you allege that it's a nonsecret source, but you refuse to cite it, is conclusive proof that you have no such source.*

> >

> > > *But of course you have to have the dinner served on the table with a voice telling you where to look for the beans and where the meat is! :-)*

> > >

> > > *Inger E*

> > >

> > >

> > >

> > *Thrice: you are a liar. How simple to prove me wrong; simply post the nonsecret source which supports your claim. You won't because you are a fraud and a liar; there's no such source.*

> >

> > *Steve*

> > --

> > *The above posting is neither a legal opinion nor legal advice, because we do not have an attorney–client relationship, and should not be construed as either. This posting does not represent the opinion of my employer, but is merely my personal view. To reply, delete \_spamout\_ and replace with the numeral 3*

> >

> > > *"David Holiman" <dcholiman@ev1.net> skrev i meddelandet news:4315ddc6.0410010126.61617c9b@posting.google.com...*

> > > > *"Inger E Johansson" <ingerxjohanssonx@telia.com> wrote in message news:<jwN6d.4532\$d5.35578@newsb.telia.net>...*

> > > > *kenney,*

> > > > *I told you all – you will have to wait for his name. I haven't looked around*

> > > > > *for a copy or an edition of his diary and found just one, here in Gothenburg. Every single time I give a ref I better have arranged for*

> > *me*

> > *to*

> > > > *have the special work, document or Prime Source for the next three weeks. If*

> > > > *I haven't same thing that happened yesterday as happened more than 10*

> > *times*

> > > > *this year will happen – I will have to give up a source which I am working*

> > > > *with. AND that within 5–6 days after I sent the ref to this group or*

> > > > *soc.history.medieval..... That I don't like to do before I have done*

> > *what I*  
> > > > *set out to do.*  
> > > >  
> > > > *Inger E*  
> > > >  
> > > >  
> > > > <kenney@cix.compulink.co.uk> skrev i meddelandet  
> > > > news:cjfvgd\$qsj\$I@thorium.cix.co.uk...  
> > > > > *In article <iky6d.4456\$d5.34748@newsb.telia.net>,*  
> > > > > *ingerxjohanssonx@telia.com (I E Johansson) wrote:*  
> > > > >  
> > > > > *and in a diary from 1533 a Spanish explorer ref to Greenland*  
*and*  
> > > > > *say that many moved from Greenland to NA in 1456.*  
> > > > >  
> > > > > *What was his name Inger? There were a lot of Spanish explorers,*  
> > *none*  
> > > > > *of whom got farther north than Mexico.*  
> > > > >  
> > > > > > *before I let you all know explorer and title.*  
> > > > >  
> > > > > *Now why do I think that the explorer and the diary do not*  
*exist? If*  
> > > > > *you can not give his name I am sure you can post the name of*  
> > *whatever*  
> > > > > *pier reviewed journal the diary has been submitted to. A*  
*discovery*  
> > *of*  
> > > > > *that importance must have ben written up.*  
> > > > >  
> > > > > *By the way, please define latitude and longitude, the*  
*definitions*  
> > > > > *will be in the dictionary you claim to keep by your computer,*  
*which*  
> > *I*  
> > > > > *think you have failed to post an ISBN number for.*  
> > > > >  
> > > > > *Ken Young*  
> > > > > *kenney@cix.co.uk*  
> > > > > *Maternity is a matter of fact*  
> > > > > *Paternity is a matter of opinion*  
> > > > ~~~~~  
> > > > *Well there you are. She wins every time because*  
> > > > *there are secret and unique documents and maps*  
> > > > *in Gothenburg*  
> > > > *that exist nowhere else in the academic world.*  
> > > > *She is excused from revealing the primary sources*  
> > > > *because it is a drive of several hours to the*  
> > > > *repository, depending on traffic. Not to mention*  
> > > > *childcare and teaching obligations. I think there*  
> > > > *is a deep disappointment and resentment that the*

> > > > *Nordic Vinland and Greenland colonies failed to*  
> > > > *take root, whilst the English, French, and Spaniards*  
> > > > *made good in the New World. I share her dismay.*  
> > > > ~~~~~  
> > >  
> > > *Inger scolds David H (snipped)*  
>  
> *Steve calls Inger thrice a liar (snipped)*

There is no way to sugar coat it. If she were telling anything resembling the truth, particularly with respect to a document which she claims is not subject to any particular privilege or confidence, she could certainly post its name and what it says if she were telling the truth. That she hasn't and has stated she won't, compels but one conclusion.

Steve

--  
The above posting is neither a legal opinion nor legal advice, because we do not have an attorney-client relationship, and should not be construed as either. This posting does not represent the opinion of my employer, but is merely my personal view. To reply, delete \_spamout\_ and replace with the numeral 3  
> ~~~~~  
> She scolds me but at the end gives me a happy face.  
> This just makes my day. Why is it that I feel like  
> Odysseus in that cave with the lovely Circe who has  
> just transformed his crew into a bunch of squealing pigs?  
> DAVID H  
> ~~~~~