

Re: answer to kenney former Re: Spirit Pond, Maine

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2004-10/1055.html>

From: I.E. Johansson (ingerxx_exx.johanssonx_at_telia.com)

Date: 10/19/04

Date: Tue, 19 Oct 2004 09:36:38 GMT

"Seppo Renfors" <Renfors@not.com.au> skrev i meddelandet
news:4174C193.A5EE663E@not.com.au...

>

>

> "I_E. Johansson" wrote:

>>

>> "Seppo Renfors" <Renfors@not.com.au> skrev i meddelandet

>> news:417374B7.F60C6A55@not.com.au...

>>>

>>>

>>> "I_E. Johansson" wrote:

>>>>

>>>> seppo,

>>>> you are wrong about the "There is only one ethnic group that calls

>> itself a

>>>> nation" It's way wrong but it's too long to be discussed under
present

>>>> subject line. What do you say about discussing the ethnicity,
language

>> and

>>>> nation question under a subject line of it's own?

>>>

>>>

>>> I don't think it will lead to a much better discussion that has

>>> already resulted.

>>

>> It most certainly will because under correct subject line I might be
willing

>> to present quotes from my own papers and essays which have been up when

I

>> studied History.

>

> I was rather more looking at the other contributions made to the
> topic. Just note the pathetic replies received on this topic already.

> They don't even know what day it is, let alone what century, I'm sure.

That, I have suspected for long :-)

Anyhow can you get hold of

Tallgren Arne Michael, Die Kupfer – und Bronzezeit Nord– und Ost Russland, Helsingfors 1911, page 145–150? If so take a special look at what's written about tehe 15 forms for bronze–tool being produced. 3 definitely Scandinavian(Swedish or Norwegian) 2 probably Scandinavian, 8 definitely Ural area type, 2 probably Ural area type. I doubt that you in Australia can get hold of the excavation reports from Russia. I had reports from among other that site some years ago. There was an edited work by a Russian Nobleman or Prince, don't remember which, who wrote about this and the edition was made short before the Russian Revolution in 1917. That you might get hold of.

There exist written documentation from Pre–Roman Iron Age up to 1650 from the area Ural Mountain over Finland to North Norway as well. Some of it is very surprising. One I got hold of, an Arabic source which I had a photo taken from(I can't read Arabic so that translation was made by a scholar from Asia Minor) showed a drawing from some now lost document from which the drawing was copied, the drawing that someone must have been using skis in 4th century BC. That's not necessarily important but interesting all the same.

>

> > *Longer of course, but you see there are so many written Prime sources which*

> > *directly show that your assumptions aren't correct and that it's not your*

> > *fault but the fact is that a major part of the documentation for the Kvens*

> > *normally aren't presented and thus discussed.*

>

> *You and I will have to agree to disagree about the origins and*

> *language of the Kvens in any case. He have had that discussion in the*

> *past already.*

I can prove you wrong, but it's a long long presentation of the facts and arguments. I have started to look for my notes to speed up my writing.

Inger E

>

> --

> *SIR – Philosopher unauthorised*

>

> *The one who is educated from the wrong books is not educated, he is misled.*

>
