

sci.archaeology: Re: One reason why KRS and other Norse artifacts isn't accepted genuine.

Re: One reason why KRS and other Norse artifacts isn't accepted genuine.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2004-11/0381.html>

From: Inger E. Johansson (ingerx_xe.johanssonx_at_telia.com)

Date: 11/07/04

Date: Sun, 07 Nov 2004 02:10:14 GMT

"Day Brown" <daybrown@hypertech.net> skrev i meddelandet
news:418d7feb\$1_1@127.0.0.1...

> *Inger E. Johansson wrote:*

> > *Tom,*

> > *some persons read the Holy Bible as if a non-believer should have read it.*

> > *Some read other things still without understanding. You have shown before*

> > *that you haven't grasped that there is full documentation for what I*

> > *written. No matter that I am normally send ref.lists(which I have for*

> > *everything but you many times asked for all over again).*

> > *If you can't read the quote and associate that to the Quebec case which I*

> > *send the group last year, well that can be understood if you have forgotten.*

> > *But you don't need to be so sarcastic. Do you? You know I am defenitely not*

> > *alone on this. If you have killfilled me or not, your problem. You don't*

> > *have to write abuse in an answer even if you don't like my writing.*

> *I dont have a dog in this fight Inger. But given that we already know*

> *that the Vikings went all the way to the Caspian Sea, why would we not*

> *think they could cover the much shorter distance from their Icelandic*

> *culture to Minnesota?*

Well there is those scholars who claim that mooring inside a mooring hole found under almost 2 meter sedimentary layer approx 5 meter above today's waterlevel in a creek of a river from Hudson Bay(it's true and I can send you copies of article via snailmail if you want me to) must be from 1700's or 1800's century..... well in other cases same group of scholars claim that the waterlevel hasn't changed much the last 1000 years.....

And if it only had been the Kaspian Sea you know, then it might have been disputable. My favorite is the postmaster in the eastern part of Asia Minor who 844 AD(!) wrote about the Ar-rus who had come over the 'Varjagan Sea' and down 'great Volga' and Dnjepr: " They travel to east down in India and

sci.archaeology: Re: One reason why KRS and other Norse artifacts isn't accepted genuine.

all way to China, they travel west to Albion(England), Rome and Bysans. Why?
Only to be able to say they been there"..... (quick translation).

Discussion today among those who are in on it goes from an other part of the
pages in the url I sent:
look at 1300

"Norsemen are wintering at Uppernauik where a runestone inscribed by them is
found. A number of archaeological finds suggest the Greenlanders used Jones
Sound District. This is north of Devon Island. Beacons, Cairns and
Eiderduck nesting shelters is found in this region and appears to be
non-aboriginal. A stone tower is found on the southern shore of Cornwallis
Island. "

<http://www.telusplanet.net/public/dgarneau/indian7.htm>

Todays discussion goes a long way west of Hudson Bay as well. Same type of
non-aboriginal cairns found in Alaska.

Then comes the 1 billion dollar question: How come it's supposed that the
Dorset people all the sudden in areas where the Norse Greenlanders are known
to have been started to build same type of buildings during same period as
the Norse and west-Swedes did back in the old world. To my knowledge, I can
be wrong of course, no one has shown that they used to build houses same
style anywhere west of Ellesmere Island in north and Hudson Bay in south
before 1000 AD.....

Inger E

>

> *Spepticism maybe. Buy a claim of impossibility seems irrational.*

>

>

> ===== *Posted via Newsfeeds.Com – Unlimited–Uncensored–Secure Usenet
News=====*

> <http://www.newsfeeds.com> *The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+
Newsgroups*

> ===== *East and West–Coast Server Farms – Total Privacy via Encryption*

=====