

Re: Stalking in Swedish

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-01/1038.html>

From: unglued (*dragonseed_at_spray.se*)

Date: 01/17/05

Date: 17 Jan 2005 06:16:15 -0800

I.E_Johansson wrote:

- > *unglued,*
- > *'every one is' you say. Well not everyone has been haressed, abused*
- > *and*
- > *stalked on net by same 20 persons for more than two years. That's one*
- > *thing.*
- > *Among those persons are 3 who definitely been cut of from access more*
- > *than*
- > *once of the suppliers they have had due to them sending private*
- > *sexmails to*
- > *me. There are also 2 others who have been told by their border of the*
- > *University faculties that they aren't allowed to use the University's*
- > *accounts at all if they continue and 1 who has been told that he risk*
- > *his*
- > *own position. I have had great help of my own suppliers and others*
- > *alike*
- > *around the world.*

I will not enter upon any discussion with you in this matter however you should be aware that with the aid of freely available tools it is possible to forge the source of any given package of data on the net. One mischeivous person could with impunity impersonate 20 other people and no one could prove a thing. Anyone who claims they can definatly pinpoint the source of a mail message is ignorant.

- >
- > *Inger E*
- > *"unglued" <dragonseed@spray.se> skrev i meddelandet*
- > *news:1105961343.334595.167570@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...*
- >>
- >> *I.E_Johansson wrote:*
- >>> *"unglued" <dragonseed@spray.se> skrev i meddelandet*
- >>> *news:1105898079.953626.208820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...*
- >>>>
- >>
- >>>
- >>> *Lasse once said, an other question but related to this, that it's*

sci.archaeology: Re: Stalking in Swedish

> > *easier*
> > > *when you know the person who has been stalked. What many here seem to*
> > *forget*
> > > *is that it's not be taken seriously that's up. I have had the*
> > *pleasure to*
> > > *know several of those who sits on posts in Parliament, earlier*
> > *Goverments,*
> > > *High posts in University and newspaper since the days we either*
> > *studied*
> > > *together or were working during the 70's together politically.*
Never
> > *had any*
> > > *problems with anyone that really counts not taken me seriously.*
> > *Doesn't mean*
> > > *that every one agrees with everyone. Only that people who have*
known
> > *each*
> > > *other for more than 30 years respect each others thoughts and*
> > *opinion.*
> > >
> > > *That's not a problem. But to be called names and have people*
> > *haressing me*
> > > *as a person, sending me sexabuse – yes one of the worst 10 showed*
the
> > *other*
> > > *day how keen he is to do so no matter what he tries to 'tell the*
> > *world',*
> > > *that's stalking.*
> >
> > *That's you own definition of stalking and not many people would*
agree
> > *with you.*
> > *For most people it means having a maniac hanging around out side*
your
> > *door, spying on you and following you around. Everyone with a*
e-mail
> > *address has it filled with "sexabuse" and harassing messages*
everyday.
> > *Everyone who participates on the usenet is subject to rudness and*
> > *provocations, that's a part of our modern life and it's self*
inflicted.
> > *No one is forced to expose themselves to the whole world. With your*
> > *definition everyone is stalked.*
> >
> > > *Apart from which, if you or anyone else don't agree with my*
> > > *writing or with anyone else writing, it would be better for you*
or
> > *the*
> > > *someone who does if contra-argument(-s) were presented. No*
scholar

> > *who wants*
> > > *to be taken seriously should try to pick on an other such as some*
> > *here have*
> > > *done on me. That's to write Ad Hominem or abusive lines instead*
of
> > *showing*
> > > *capability to discuss subject line.*
> > >
> > > *Inger E*
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > *Is it too much paranoia? Or is Inger more powerful than you*
> > *thought?*
> > > > > *Or is there a real problem?*
> > > >
> > > > *It's a real problem for those who are really stalked (not just*
> > *having*
> > > > *their posts on usenet made ridicule) but it's not a hotly*
debated
> > > > *problem here in any sense of the term.*
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > *Andy.*
> > > >
> >