

Re: Radiocarbon dating of Shroud of Turin wrong?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.archaeology/2005-01/2275.html>

From: I.E. Johansson (*inger_e.xjohansson_at_telia.xcom*)

Date: 01/30/05

Date: Sun, 30 Jan 2005 05:32:29 GMT

"Bernardz" <Bernard_zzz@REMOVEhotmail.com> skrev i meddelandet
news:MPG.1c66f9f147bf8364989c06@news...

> *In article <IBVKd.15618\$d5.130812@newsb.telia.net>,*

> *inger_e.xjohansson@telia.xcom says...*

>>

>> *"Bernardz" <Bernard_zzz@REMOVEhotmail.com> skrev i meddelandet*

>> *news:MPG.1c66c8eb1f1838ab989c02@news...*

>>> *In article <2eUKd.5090\$7w5.872@newsfe5-gui.ntli.net>,*

>>> *martin.reboul@SPAMFUKvirgin.net says...*

>>>>

>>>> *So, we have to ask the question – where is the real one? Any ideas?*

>>>>

>>>

>>> *The real one is not a question but a challenge to prove that it is*

>>> *medieval or not!*

>>

>> *Bernardz,*

>> *isn't this one of the most common problems. While we might prove that
it's*

>> *not medieval that doesn't say more than that the cloths might be older,*

>> *which btw I have a slight memory having seen a dating which might*

>> *suggest.*

>> *That's not the same as saying that it's more the time of Christ. The
cloth*

>> *might be from those days but how can we possibly say that the 'picture'
is*

>> *even if it was/will be possible to establish that the cloth isn't
medieval?*

>> *Hope I am not expressing myself in a cryptic way.*

>

>

> *I think you have the problem here with the added problem that it is very*

> *hard to disprove a negative eg how do you disprove that there is no*

> *black forest cake on the moon!*

Sorry but that's one of the thing I meant but of course I didn't express me
very well. Ok once more: Even if the shroud itself turned out to be older

sci.archaeology: Re: Radiocarbon dating of Shroud of Turin wrong?

than Medieval no matter if it was shown to be from the time of Christ, that
neither makes the 'photo' from same time nor d